Journal of The Tensor Society (J.T.S.)
Vol. 14 (2020), page 19 - 29.
https://doi.org/10.56424/jts.v14i01.10609

# Some Properties of CR-Submanifolds of a Kenmotsu Manifold

Priyanka Almia and J.Upreti
*Department of Mathematics,*
*Kumaun University S.S.J. Campus, Almora,*
*Email- almiapriyanka14@gmail.com*
*prof.upreti@gmail.com*

Received March 03, 2021
Accepted May 21, 2021
Published August 16, 2021

The purpose of the present paper is to initiate the study of CR-submanifolds of a Kenmotsu manifold and obtain some properties. The conditions under which the distributions required by CR- submanifolds to be integrable, are obtained. D-parallel normal section of CR-submanifolds have been studied.

**Keywords**: CR-submanifold; Kenmotsu manifold; $\xi$-vertical and mixed totally geodesic.

## 1. Introduction

In 1978, Bejancu introduced the notion of CR-Submanifold of Kaehler manifold [1]. On the other hand CR-submanifold of a Sasakian manifold have been stidied by Kobayashi [5], Shahid et al.[8], Yano and Kon[11], and others. Bejancu and Papaghuic [2] studied CR-submanifolds of a Kenmotsu manifold. In this paper we obtain certain results in generalised form of [5],[6] and [7].

## 2. Preliminaries

Let $\overline{M}^{(2n+1)}(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be an almost contact Riemannian manifold, where $\phi$ is (1,1) tensor field, $\eta$ is a 1-form and g is the Riemannian metric [3],[10]

$$\phi(\xi) = 0, \quad \eta(\phi X) = 0, \quad \eta(\xi) = 1, \tag{1}$$

$$\phi^2(X) = -X + \eta(\xi), \tag{2}$$

$$g(X, \xi) = \eta(X), \tag{3}$$

$$g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y), \tag{4}$$

for any vector fields $X$,Y on $\overline{M}$.

If Moreover

$$(\overline{\nabla}_X\phi)Y = g(\phi X,Y)\xi - \eta(Y)\phi X, \quad X,Y \in \chi(\overline{M}) \tag{5}$$

$$(\overline{\nabla}_X\xi) = X - \eta(X)\xi \tag{6}$$

Where $\overline{\nabla}$ denotes the Riemannian connection of $g$, then $(\overline{M},\phi,\xi,\eta,g)$ is called an almost Kenmotsu manifold [4].

**Definition 2.1**[9]. An m-dimensional Riemannian submanifold $M$ of a Kenmotsu manifold $\overline{M}$ is called a CR-submanifold if $\xi$ is tangent to $M$ and there exists a differentiable distribution D:$x \in M \rightarrow D_x \subset T_xM$ such that

1. the distribution $D_x$ is invariant under $\phi$, that is, $\phi D_x \subset D_x$ for each $x \in M$;

2. the complementary orthogonal distributions $D^{\perp}$:$x \in M \rightarrow D_x^{\perp} \subset T_xM$ of D is anti-invariant under $\phi$, that is, $\phi D_x^{\perp} \subset T_x^{\perp}M$ for all $x \in M$, where $T_xM$ and $T_x^{\perp}M$ are the tangent space and the normal space of $M$ at $x$, respectively.

If dim$D_x^{\perp}$=0(resp., dim$D_x$=0), then the CR-submanifold is called an invariant (resp., anti invariant) submanifold.The distribution D (resp.,$D^{\perp}$) is called the horizontal (resp.,vertical) distribution. Also, the pair (D,$D^{\perp}$) is called $\xi$-horizontal (resp.,vertical) if $\xi_x \in D_x$(resp.,$\xi_x \in D_x^{\perp}$) [5]. For any vector field $X$ tangent to $M$, we put

$$X = PX + QX, \tag{7}$$

Where $PX$ and $QX$ belong to the distributions $D$ and $D^{\perp}$. For any vector field $N$ normal to $M$, we put

$$\phi N = BN + CN, \tag{8}$$

Where $BN$(resp.,$CN$) denotes the tangential(resp.,normal) component of $\phi N$. Let $\overline{\nabla}$(resp.,$\nabla$ be the covariant differentiation with respect to the Levi-civita connection on $\overline{M}$(resp.,$M$). The Gauss and Weingarten formulas for $M$ are respectively given by

$$\overline{\nabla}_XY = \nabla_XY + h(X,Y) \qquad \overline{\nabla}_XN = -A_NX + \nabla_X^{\perp}N \tag{9}$$

for any $X$,$Y \in TM$ and $N \in T^{\perp}M$,where $h$(resp., $A$) is the second fundamental form(resp.,tensor)of $M$ in $\overline{M}$, and $\nabla^{\perp}$ denotes the normal connection. Moreover,we have

$$g(h(X,Y),N) = g(A_NX,Y) \tag{10}$$

## 3. Some basic lemmas.

First we prove the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.1** Let $M$ be a CR-submanifold of a Kenmotsu manifold $\overline{M}$. Then

$$P(\nabla_X\phi PY) + P(\nabla_Y\phi PX) - P(A_{\phi QY}X) - P(A_{\phi QX}Y)$$

$$= \phi P\nabla_X Y + \phi P\nabla_Y X - \eta(Y)\phi PX + \eta(X)\phi PY, \quad (11)$$

$$Q(\nabla_X \phi PY) + Q(\nabla_Y \phi PX) - Q(A_{\phi QY}X) - Q(A_{\phi QX}Y)$$

$$= 2Bh(X,Y) - \eta(Y)\phi QX + \eta(X)\phi QY, \quad (12)$$

$$h(X,\phi PY) + h(Y,\phi PX) + \nabla^{\perp}_X \phi QY + \nabla^{\perp}_Y \phi QX$$

$$= \phi Q\nabla_X Y + \phi Q\nabla_Y X + 2Ch(X,Y) \quad (13)$$

for any $X$,$Y \in TM$.

**Proof.** From the definition of a Kenmotu manifold and using equations (2.7),(2.8), and (2.9),we get

$$\nabla_X \phi PY + h(X,\phi PY) - A_{\phi QY}X + \nabla^{\perp}_X \phi QY - \phi(\nabla_X Y + h(X,Y))$$

$$+\nabla_Y \phi PX + h(Y,\phi PX) - A_{\phi QX}Y + \nabla^{\perp}_Y \phi QX - \phi(\nabla_Y X + h(X,Y))$$

$$= -\phi[\eta(Y)X + \eta(X)Y] \quad (14)$$

for any $X$,$Y \in TM$. Now using equation (2.7) and equating horizontal,vertical and normal component in equation (3.4), we get the result.

**Lemma 3.2** Let $M$ be a CR-submanifold of a Kenmotsu manifold $\overline{M}$.Then

$$2(\overline{\nabla}_X \phi)(Y) = \nabla_X \phi Y - \nabla_Y \phi X + h(X,\phi Y) - h(Y,\phi X)$$

$$-\phi[X,Y] - \phi[\eta(Y)X + \eta(X)Y] \quad (15)$$

for any $X$,$Y \in D$.

$$2(\overline{\nabla}_Y \phi)(X) = -\nabla_X \phi Y + \nabla_Y \phi X - h(X,\phi Y) + h(Y,\phi X)$$

$$+\phi[X,Y] - \phi[\eta(Y)X + \eta(X)Y] \quad (16)$$

for any $X$,$Y \in D$.

**Proof.** By Gauss formula we get

$$\overline{\nabla}_X \phi Y - \overline{\nabla}_Y \phi X = \nabla_X \phi Y + h(X,\phi Y) - \nabla_Y \phi X - h(Y,\phi X) \quad (17)$$

Also, we have

$$\overline{\nabla}_X \phi Y - \overline{\nabla}_Y \phi X = (\overline{\nabla}_X \phi)(Y) - (\overline{\nabla}_Y \phi)(X) + \phi[X,Y] \quad (18)$$

From equations (3.6) and (3.7),we get

$$(\overline{\nabla}_X \phi)(Y) - (\overline{\nabla}_Y \phi)(X) = \nabla_X \phi Y + h(X,\phi Y) - \nabla_Y \phi X$$

$$-h(Y,\phi X) - \phi[X,Y] \quad (19)$$

Also for Kenmotsu manifold,we have

$$(\overline{\nabla}_X \phi)(Y) + (\overline{\nabla}_Y \phi)(X) = -\phi[\eta(Y)X + \eta(X)Y] \quad (20)$$

Adding and Subtracting equations (3.8) and (3.9),the lemma follows.
**Lemma 3.4** Let $M$ be a CR-submanifold of a Kenmotsu manifold $\overline{M}$.Then

$$2(\overline{\nabla}_Y\phi)(Z) = A_{\phi Y}Z - A_{\phi Z}Y + \nabla^{\perp}_Y\phi Z - \nabla^{\perp}_Z\phi Y$$

$$-\phi[Y,Z] - \phi[\eta(Z)Y + \eta(Y)Z] \tag{21}$$

$$2(\overline{\nabla}_Z\phi)(Y) = -A_{\phi Y}Z + A_{\phi Z}Y - \nabla^{\perp}_Y\phi Z + \nabla^{\perp}_Z\phi Y$$

$$+\phi[Y,Z] - \phi[\eta(Z)Y + \eta(Y)Z] \tag{22}$$

for any $Y$,$Z \in D^{\perp}$.

**Proof.** From Weingarten formula, we have

$$\overline{\nabla}_Z\phi Y - \overline{\nabla}_Y\phi Z = -A_{\phi Y}Z + \nabla^{\perp}_Z\phi Y + A_{\phi Z}Y - \nabla^{\perp}_Y\phi Z \tag{23}$$

Also,we have

$$\overline{\nabla}_Z\phi Y - \overline{\nabla}_Y\phi Z = (\overline{\nabla}_Z\phi)(Y) - (\overline{\nabla}_Y\phi)(Z) - \phi[Y,Z] \tag{24}$$

From equations (3.13) and (3.14),we get

$$(\overline{\nabla}_Y\phi)(Z) - (\overline{\nabla}_Z\phi)(Y) = A_{\phi Y}Z - A_{\phi Z}Y + \nabla^{\perp}_Y\phi Z - \nabla^{\perp}_Z\phi Y - \phi[Y,Z] \tag{25}$$

Also for Kenmotsu manifold,we have

$$(\overline{\nabla}_Y\phi)(Z) - (\overline{\nabla}_Z\phi)(Y) = -\phi[\eta(Z)Y + \eta(Y)Z] \tag{26}$$

Adding equations (3.15) and (3.16),we get

$$2(\overline{\nabla}_Y\phi)(Z) = A_{\phi Y}Z - A_{\phi Z}Y + \nabla^{\perp}_Y\phi Z - \nabla^{\perp}_Z\phi Y$$

$$-\phi[Y,Z] - \phi[\eta(Z)Y + \eta(Y)Z] \tag{27}$$

Subtracting equations (3.15) and (3.16),we get

$$2(\overline{\nabla}_Z\phi)(Y) = -A_{\phi Y}Z + A_{\phi Z}Y - \nabla^{\perp}_Y\phi Z + \nabla^{\perp}_Z\phi Y$$

$$+\phi[Y,Z] - \phi[\eta(Z)Y + \eta(Y)Z] \tag{28}$$

This proves our assertions.

**Lemma 3.4** Let $M$ be a CR-submanifold of a Kenmotsu manifold $\overline{M}$.Then

$$2(\overline{\nabla}_X\phi)(Y) = -A_{\phi Y}X + \nabla^{\perp}_X\phi Y - \nabla_Y\phi X - h(Y,\phi X)$$

$$-\phi[X,Y] - \phi[\eta(Y)X + \eta(X)Y] \tag{29}$$

$$2(\overline{\nabla}_Y\phi)(X) = A_{\phi Y}X - \nabla^{\perp}_X\phi Y + \nabla_Y\phi X + h(Y,\phi X)$$

$$+\phi[X,Y] - \phi[\eta(Y)X + \eta(X)Y] \tag{30}$$

for any $Y$,$Z \in D^{\perp}$.

**Proof.** By using Gauss and Weingarten equations for $X \in D$ and $Y \in D^{\perp}$ respectively we get

$$\overline{\nabla}_X \phi Y - \overline{\nabla}_Y \phi X = -A_{\phi Y} X + \nabla^{\perp}_X \phi Y - \nabla_Y \phi X - h(Y, \phi X) \tag{31}$$

Also we have

$$\overline{\nabla}_X \phi Y - \overline{\nabla}_Y \phi X = (\overline{\nabla}_X \phi)(Y) - (\overline{\nabla}_Y \phi)(X) + \phi[X, Y] \tag{32}$$

From equations (3.21) and (3.22), we get

$$(\overline{\nabla}_X \phi)(Y) - (\overline{\nabla}_Y \phi)(X) = -A_{\phi Y} X + \nabla^{\perp}_X \phi Y$$

$$-\nabla_Y \phi X - h(Y, \phi X) - \phi[X, Y] \tag{33}$$

Also for Kenmotsu manifold,we have

$$(\overline{\nabla}_Y \phi)(Z) - (\overline{\nabla}_Z \phi)(Y) = -\phi[\eta(Z)Y + \eta(Y)Z] \tag{34}$$

Adding equations (3.23) and (3.24),we get

$$2(\overline{\nabla}_X \phi)(Y) = -A_{\phi Y} X + \nabla^{\perp}_X \phi Y - \nabla_Y \phi X - h(Y, \phi X)$$

$$-\phi[X, Y] - \phi[\eta(Y)X + \eta(X)Y] \tag{35}$$

Subtacting equations (3.23) and (3.24),we get

$$2(\overline{\nabla}_Y \phi)(X) = A_{\phi Y} X - \nabla^{\perp}_X \phi Y + \nabla_Y \phi X + h(Y, \phi X)$$

$$+\phi[X, Y] - \phi[\eta(Y)X + \eta(X)Y] \tag{36}$$

Hence the Lemma.

## 4. Parallel distributions

**Definition 4.1.** The horizontal (resp.,vertical) distribution $D$ (resp.,$D^{\perp}$) is said to be parallel [1] with respect to the connection $\nabla$ on $M$ if $\nabla_X Y \in D$(resp.,$\nabla_Z W \in D^{\perp}$) for any vector field $X$,$Y \in D$ (resp.,$W$,$Z \in D^{\perp}$).

Now we prove the following proposition.

**Propostion 4.2.** Let $M$ be a $\xi$-vertical CR-subamnifold of a Kenmotsu manifold $\overline{M}$. If the horizontal distribution $D$ is parallel, then

$$h(X, \phi Y) = h(Y, \phi X) \tag{37}$$

for all $X$,$Y \in D$.

**Proof.** Using parallelism of horizontal distribution $D$, we have

$$\nabla_X \phi Y \in D, \quad \nabla_Y \phi X D \qquad for\ any\ \ X, Y \in D \tag{38}$$

Thus using the fact $QX$=$QY$=0 for $Y$∈$D$, equation (3.2) gives

$$Bh(X,Y) = g(X,Y)Q\xi \quad for\ any\ \ X,Y \in D \tag{39}$$

Also, since

$$\phi h(X,Y) = Bh(x,y) + Ch(X,Y), \tag{40}$$

then

$$\phi h(X,Y) = g(X,Y)Q\xi + Ch(X,Y)\xi \quad for\ any\ \ X,Y \in D. \tag{41}$$

Next from equation (3.3), we have

$$h(X,\phi Y) + h(Y,\phi X) = 2Ch(X,Y) = 2\phi h(X,Y) - 2g(X,Y)Q\xi, \tag{42}$$

for any $X$,$Y$∈$D$.Putting $X$=$\phi X$∈$D$ in equation (4.6),we get

$$h(\phi X,\phi Y) + h(Y,\phi^2 X) = 2\phi h(\phi X,Y) - 2g(\phi X,Y)Q\xi \tag{43}$$

or

$$h(\phi X,\phi Y) - h(Y,X) = 2\phi h(\phi X,Y) - 2g(\phi X,Y)Q\xi. \tag{44}$$

Similary, putting $Y$=$\phi Y$∈$D$ in equation (4.6),we get

$$h(\phi Y,\phi X) - h(Y,X) = 2\phi h(X,\phi Y) - 2g(X,\phi Y)Q\xi. \tag{45}$$

Hence from equations (4.8) and (4.9), we have

$$\phi h(X,\phi Y) - \phi h(Y,\phi X) = g(X,\phi Y)Q\xi - g(\phi X,Y)Q\xi. \tag{46}$$

Operating $\phi$ on both sides of equation (4.10) and using $\phi\xi$=0,we get

$$h(X,\phi Y) = h(Y,\phi X) \tag{47}$$

for all $X$,$Y$∈$D$.
Now, for the distribution $D^\perp$,we prove the following proposition.

**Propostion 4.3.** Let M be a $\xi$-vertical CR-submanifold of a Kenmotsu manifold $\overline{M}$.If the distribution $D^\perp$ is parallel with respect to the connection on $M$,then

$$(A_{\phi Y}Z + A_{\phi Z}Y) \in D^\perp \quad for\ any\ Y\ ,Z \in D^\perp \tag{48}$$

**Proof.** Let $Y$,$Z$∈$D^\perp$, then using Gauss and Weingarten formula ,we obtain

$$-A_{\phi Z}Y + \nabla^\perp_Y\phi Z - A_{\phi Y}Z + \nabla^\perp_Z\phi Y = \phi\nabla_Y Z + \phi\nabla_Z Y + 2\phi h(Y,Z)$$

$$= -\phi[\eta(Z)Y + \eta(Y)Z] \tag{49}$$

for any $YZ$∈$D^\perp$. Taking inner product with $X$∈$D$ in equation (4.13),we get

$$g(A_{\phi Y}Z,X) + g(A_{\phi Z}Y,X) = g(\nabla_Y Z,\phi X) + g(\nabla_Z Y,\phi X). \tag{50}$$

If the distribution $D^{\perp}$ is parallel, then $\nabla_Y Z \in D^{\perp}$ and $\nabla_Z Y \in D^{\perp}$ for any $Y, Z \in D^{\perp}$. So from equation (4.14) we get

$$g(A_{\phi Y}Z, X) + g(A_{\phi Z}Y, X) = 0 \quad or \quad g(A_{\phi Y}Z + A_{\phi Z}Y, X) = 0 \tag{51}$$

which is equivalent to

$$(A_{\phi Z}Z + A_{\phi Z}Y) \in D^{\perp} \quad for\, any\, Y, Z \in D^{\perp}, \tag{52}$$

this completes the proof.

**Definition 4.4**[5]. A CR-submanifold is said to be mixed totally geodesic if $h(X,Z)=0$ for all $X \in D$ and $Z \in D^{\perp}$.
The following lemma is an easy consequence of (2.7).
**Lemma 4.5**. Let $M$ be a CR-submanifold of a Kenmotsu manifold $\overline{M}$. Then $M$ is mixed totally geodesic if and only if $A_N X \in D$ for all $X \in D$.
**Definition 4.6**[5]. A normal vector field $N \neq 0$ is called $D$-parallel normal section if $\nabla^{\perp}_X N=0$ for all $X \in D$.

Now we have the following proposition.
**Proposition 4.7**. Let $M$ be a mixed totally geodesic $\xi$-vertical CR-subamanifold of a Kenmotsu manifold $\overline{M}$. Then the normal section $N \in \phi D^{\perp}$ is $D$-parallel if and only if $\nabla_X \phi N \in D$ for all $X \in D$.
**Proof.** Let $N \in \phi D^{\perp}$. Then from equation (3.2) we have

$$Q(\nabla_Y \phi X) = 0 \quad for\, any\, X \in D,\; Y \in D^{\perp}. \tag{53}$$

In particular,we have $Q(\nabla_Y X)=0$.By using it in equation (3.3),we get

$$\nabla^{\perp}_X \phi QY = \phi Q \nabla_X Y \quad or \quad \nabla^{\perp}_X N = -\phi Q(\nabla_X \phi N) \tag{54}$$

Thus, if the normal section $N \neq 0$ is $D$-parallel, then using Definition (4.6) and (4.18), we get

$$\phi Q(\nabla_X \phi N) = 0 \tag{55}$$

which is equivalent to $\nabla_X \phi N \in D$ for all $X \in D$.The converse part easily follows from equation (4.18).

## 5. Integrability conditions of distributions.

First we calculate the Nijenhuis tensor $N_{\phi}(X, Y)$ on a Kenmotsu manifold $\overline{M}$. For this, first we prove the following lemma.
**Lemma 5.1**. Let $\overline{M}$ be a Kenmotsu manifold,then

$$(\overline{\nabla}_{\phi X}\phi)(Y) = \eta(Y)X - \eta(Y)\eta(X)\xi - \eta(X)\overline{\nabla}_Y \xi$$

$$+\phi(\overline{\nabla}_Y \phi)(X) + \eta(\overline{\nabla}_Y X)\xi \tag{56}$$

for any $X$,$Y\in T\overline{M}$.
**Proof**.From the definition of Kenmotsu manifold $\overline{M}$, we have

$$(\overline{\nabla}_{\phi X}\phi)(Y) = -\eta(Y)\phi^2 X - \eta(\phi X)\phi Y - (\overline{\nabla}_Y\phi)(\phi X) \tag{57}$$

Also,we have

$$\begin{aligned}(\overline{\nabla}_Y\phi)(\phi X) &= \overline{\nabla}_Y\phi^2 X - \phi\overline{\nabla}_Y\phi X \\ &= \overline{\nabla}_Y\phi^2 X - \phi\overline{\nabla}_Y\phi X + \phi(\phi\overline{\nabla}_Y X) - \phi(\phi\overline{\nabla}_Y X) \\ &= -\overline{\nabla}_Y X + \eta(X)\overline{\nabla}_Y\xi - \phi(\overline{\nabla}_Y\phi X - \phi\overline{\nabla}_Y X) - \phi(\phi\overline{\nabla}_Y X)\end{aligned}$$

$$= -\overline{\nabla}_Y X + \eta(X)\overline{\nabla}_Y\xi - \phi(\overline{\nabla}_Y\phi)(X) + \overline{\nabla}_Y X - \eta(\overline{\nabla}_Y X)\xi. \tag{58}$$

Using equation (5.3) in (5.2),we get

$$(\overline{\nabla}_{\phi X}\phi)(Y) = \eta(Y)X - \eta(Y)\eta(X)\xi - \eta(X)\overline{\nabla}_Y\xi + \phi(\overline{\nabla}_Y\phi)(X) + \eta(\overline{\nabla}_Y X)\xi \tag{59}$$

for any $X$,$Y\in T\overline{M}$,which completes the proof of the lemma.

On a Kenmotsu manifold $\overline{M}$, Nijenhuis tensor is given by

$$N_\phi(X,Y) = (\overline{\nabla}_{\phi X}\phi)(Y) - (\overline{\nabla}_{\phi Y}\phi)(X) - \phi(\overline{\nabla}_X\phi)(Y) + \phi(\overline{\nabla}_Y\phi)(X) \tag{60}$$

for any $X$,$Y\in T\overline{M}$. From equations (5.1) and (5.5),we get

$$\begin{aligned}N_\phi(X,Y) = {}& \eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y - \eta(X)\overline{\nabla}_Y\xi + \eta(Y)\overline{\nabla}_X\xi + \eta(\overline{\nabla}_Y X)\xi \\ & -\eta(\overline{\nabla}_X Y)\xi - 2\phi(\overline{\nabla}_X\phi)(Y) + 2\phi(\overline{\nabla}_Y\phi)(X)\end{aligned} \tag{61}$$

Thus using equation (2.3) in above equation and after some calculations,we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}N_\phi(X,Y) = {}& -\eta(Y)X - 3\eta(X)Y - \eta(X)\overline{\nabla}_Y\xi + \eta(Y)\overline{\nabla}_X\xi + \eta(\overline{\nabla}_Y X)\xi \\ & -\eta(\overline{\nabla}_X Y)\xi + 4\phi(\overline{\nabla}_Y\phi)(X) + 4\eta(Y)\eta(X)\xi.\end{aligned} \tag{62}$$

for any $X$,$Y\in T\overline{M}$.
Now we prove the following proposition.

**Proposition 5.2.** Let $M$ be a $\xi$-vertical CR-submanifold of a Kenmotsu manifold $\overline{M}$.Then, the distribution $D$ is integrable if the following are satisfied:

$$S(X,Y) \in D, \quad h(X,\phi Z) = h(\phi X, Z) \tag{63}$$

for any $X$,$Z\in D$.
**Proof**.The torsion tensor $S(X,Y)$ of the almost contact structure ($\phi$,$\xi$,$\eta$,$g$) is given by

$$S(X,Y) = N_\phi(X,Y) + 2d\eta(X,Y)\xi = N_\phi(X,Y) + 2g(\phi X,Y)\xi. \tag{64}$$

Thus, we have

$$S(X,Y) = [\phi X, \phi Y] - \phi[\phi X, Y] - \phi[X, \phi Y] + 2g(\phi X, Y)\xi \tag{65}$$

for any $X$,$Y\in T\overline{M}$. Suppose that the distribution $D$ is integrable.So,for $X$,$Y\in D$,$Q[X,Y] = 0$ and $\eta([X,Y]) = 0$ as $\xi\in D^{\perp}$.
If $S(X,Y)\in D$, then from equations (5.7) and (5.9) we have

$$[2g(\phi X, Y)\xi + \eta[X,Y]\xi + 4\phi\nabla_Y\phi X + 4\phi h(Y, \phi X) + 4\nabla_Y X + 4h(X,Y)] \in D \tag{66}$$

or

$$2g(\phi X, Y)Q\xi + \eta([X,Y])Q\xi + 4(\phi Q\nabla_Y\phi X + \phi h(Y,\phi X) + Q\nabla_Y X + h(X,Y)) = 0 \tag{67}$$

for any $X$,$Y\in D$ Replacing $Y$ by $\phi Z$ for $Z\in D$ in above equation, we get

$$2g(\phi X, \phi Z)Q\xi + 4(\phi Q\nabla_{\phi Z}\phi X + \phi h(\phi Z, \phi X) + Q\nabla_{\phi Z}X + h(X, \phi Z)) = 0 \tag{68}$$

Interchanging $X$ and $Z$ for $X$,$Z\in D$ in equation (5.13) and subtracting these relations,we obtain

$$\phi Q[\phi X, \phi Z] + Q[X, \phi Z] + h(Z, \phi X) - h(Z, \phi X) = 0 \tag{69}$$

for any $X$,$Y\in D$ and the assertion follows. Now, we prove the following proposition.
**Proposition 5.3.** Let $M$ be a CR-submanifold of a Kenmotsu manifold $\overline{M}$.Then

$$A_{\phi Y}Z - A_{\phi Z}Y = \frac{1}{3}\phi P[Y,Z] \tag{70}$$

for any $Y$,$Z$ $\in D^{\perp}$.

**Proof.** For $Y$,$Z\in D^{\perp}$ and $X\in T(M)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
2g(A_{\phi Z}Y, X) &= 2g(h(X,Y), \phi Z)\\
&= g(h(X,Y), \phi Z) + g(h(X,Y), \phi Z)\\
&= g(\overline{\nabla}_X Y, \phi Z) + g(\overline{\nabla}_Y X, \phi Z)\\
&= g(\overline{\nabla}_X Y + \overline{\nabla}_Y X, \phi Z)\\
&= -g(\phi(\overline{\nabla}_X Y + \overline{\nabla}_Y X), Z)\\
&= -g(\overline{\nabla}_X \phi Y + \overline{\nabla}_Y \phi X + \eta(Y)\phi X + \eta(X)\phi Y, Z)\\
&= -g(\overline{\nabla}_X \phi Y, Z) - g(\overline{\nabla}_Y \phi X, Z)\\
&= g(\overline{\nabla}_Y Z, \phi X) + g(A_{\phi Y}Z, X)
\end{aligned}$$

The above equation is true for all $X \in T(M)$, therefore ,transvecting the vector field $X$ both sides,we obtain

$$2A_{\phi Z}Y = A_{\phi Y}Z - \phi\overline{\nabla}_Y Z \tag{71}$$

for any $Y$,$Z \in D^{\perp}$. Interchanging the vector fields $Y$ and $Z$,we get

$$2A_{\phi Y}Z = A_{\phi Z}Y - \phi\overline{\nabla}_Z Y \tag{72}$$

Subtracting equations (5.16) and (5.17), we get

$$A_{\phi Z}Y - A_{\phi Y}Z = \frac{1}{3}\phi P[Y, Z] \tag{73}$$

for any $Y$,$Z \in D^{\perp}$,completes the proof.

**Theorem 5.4.** Let $M$ be a CR-submanifold of a Kenmotsu manifold $\overline{M}$. Then, the distribution $D^{\perp}$ is integrable if and only if

$$A_{\phi Z}Y - A_{\phi Y}Z = 0 \tag{74}$$

**Proof.** First Suppose that the distribution $D^{\perp}$ is integrable.Then $[Y, Z] \in D^{\perp}$ for any $Y$,$Z \in D^{\perp}$. Since $P$ is a projection operator on $D$,so $P[Y, Z]$=0.Thus from equation (5.15) we get equation (5.20).Conversely, we suppose that equation (5.20) holds.Then using equation (5.15),we have $\phi P[Y, Z] = 0$ for any $Y$,$Z \in D^{\perp}$. Since rank$\phi$=2n.Therefore,either $P[Y, Z]$=0 or $P[Y, Z]=k\xi$.But $P[Y, Z]=k\xi$ is not possible as $P$ is a projection operator on $D$.Thus,$P[Y, Z]$=0, which is equivalent to $[Y, Z] \in D^{\perp}$ for any $Y$,$Z \in D^{\perp}$ and hence $D^{\perp}$ is integrable.

**Acknowledgement :** The research work of the first author is supported by research fellowship (JRF) from Department of Science and Technology (DST), New Delhi.

## References

1. Bejancu, A.,CR subamnifolds of a Kaehler manifold.I, proc.Amer.Math.Soc.69(1978),no.1,135-142.
2. Bejancu, A. and Papaghuic N. , CR-submanifolds of Kenmotsu manifold, Rend. Math.7(1984),no.4,607-622.
3. Blair, D.E., Contact manifolds in Riemannian geometry.Lecture notes in Math. No. 509,Springer 1976.
4. Kenmotsu, K., A class of almost contact Riemannian manifolds, Tohoku Math.J.,24(1972),93-103.
5. Kobayashi, M., CR-submanifolds of a Sasakian manifold,Tensor (N.S.)35(1981),no.3,297-307.
6. Shahid, M.H., CR-submanifolds of a Trans-Sasakian manifold, Indian J.Pure Appl.Math.22(1991),no.12,1007-1012.
7. Shahid, M.H.,CR-submanifolds of a Trans-Sasakian manifold II, Indian J.Pure appl.Math.25(1994),no.3,299-307.
8. Shahid, M.H.,Sharfuddin, A.,and Husain S.A.,CR-submanifolds of a Sasakian manifold, Univ.u Novom Sadu Zb.Rad.Prirod.Mat.Fak.Ser.Mat.15(1985),no.1,263-278.

9. Solamy, Fallah R. AL-,CR-submanifolds of a Nearly Trans-Sasakian manifold, IJMMS 31,3(2002),167-175.
10. Yano, K. and Kon, M., Structures on manifolds,series in pure Mathemtaics,3.World Scientific Publishing Co..,Springer 1984.
11. Yano,K. and Kon, M., Contact CR-submanifolds, Kodai Math.J.5(1982),no.2,238-252.