J. T. S Vol. 2 (2008), 37-47 https://doi.org/10.56424/jts.v2i00.9958

On Finsler Spaces with a Quartic Metric

T. N. Pandey and V. K. Chaubey

Department of Mathematics and Statistics
D. D. U. Gorakhpur University, Gorakhpur-273009, U.P., India
(Received: January 18, 2008)

Abstract

The purpose of the present paper is to study spaces with a quartic metric from the standpoint of Finsler geometry. The paper deals with Berwald and Landsbergs spaces among quartic Finsler spaces. A Finsler connection defined in a quartic Finsler space from the standpoint of the generalized metric space.

2000 AMS Classification : 53C60, 53B40.

0. Introduction

The so-called quartic metric on a differentiable manifold with the local co-ordinates x^i is defined by

$$L^4(x, y) = a_{ijkh}(x) y^i y^j y^k y^h, (y^i = \dot{x}^i)$$
 (0.1)

where $a_{ijkh}(x)$ are components of a symmetric tensor field of (0, 4)-type, depending on the position x alone, and a Finsler space with a quartic metric is called quartic Finsler space.

We have had few papers studying cubic Finsler spaces ([1], [5], [6], [7], [8], [9]) although there are various papers on the geometry of spaces with a cubic metric as a generalization of Euclidean or Riemannian geometry. The purpose of the present paper is to study spaces with a quartic metric from the standpoint of Finsler geometry.

Section 1 is devoted to developing a fundamental treatment of quartic Finsler spaces and a characterization of such spaces is given in terms of well-known tensors in Finsler geometry. Section 2 is devoted to finding Berwald space and landsberg spaces among quartic Finsler spaces. In Section 3, a characteristic

Finsler connection is defined in a quartic Finsler space from the standpoint of the generalized metric space due to A. Moor [4]. In Section 4 some distinctive quartic Finsler space are treated they are decomposable in a sense.

1. Characterization of Quartic Metric

We consider an n-dimensional Finsler space F^n with a quartic $L^4(x, y)$ defined by (0.1). Putting

$$L^{3} a_{i}(x, y) = a_{ijkh} y^{j} y^{k} y^{h}, \quad L^{2} a_{ij}(x, y) = a_{ijkh} y^{k} y^{h}, \quad La_{ijk}(x, y) = a_{ijkh} y^{h}, (1.1)$$

The normalized supporting element $l_i = \dot{\partial}_i L$, the angular metric tensor $h_{ij} = L \dot{\partial}_i \dot{\partial}_j L$ and the fundamental tensor $g_{ij} = \dot{\partial}_i \dot{\partial}_j L^2/2 = h_{ij} + l_i l_j$ are respectively given by the equations,

(a)
$$l_i = a_i$$
, (b) $h_{ij} = 3 (a_{ij} - a_i a_i)$, (c) $g_{ij} = 3a_{ij} - 2a_i a_j$ (1.2)

The problem appearing first in treating special Finsler metric of an interesting cocrete form is to find the inverse matrix (g^{ij}) of the matrix g_{ij} (see, for instance, § 30 of [2]). In case of quartic the problem is easy as follows:

Definition: A quartic Finsler space or some domain of the space is called regular if the intrinsic metric tensor $a_{ij}(x, y)$ has non-vanishing determinant.

Then by inverse matrix (a^{ij}) of a_{ij} the contravariant component g^{ij} of the fundamental tensor are written as

$$g^{ij} = (a^{ij} + 2a^i a^j)/3 (1.3)$$

where $a^i = a^{ir} a_r$, $a^i a_i = 1$, $l_i = y^i/L$, $a^h_{ij} a_h = a_{ij}$.

It is easy to show that

$$\dot{\partial}_{j} a_{i} = 3 (a_{ij} - a_{i} a_{j})/L, \qquad \dot{\partial}_{k} a_{ij} = 2 (a_{ijk} - a_{ij} a_{k})/L.$$

Therefore it follows from (1.2)(c) that the covariant components $C_{ijk} = \dot{\partial}_k g_{ij}/2$ of the (h) hv-torsion tensor of the Cartan connection $C\Gamma$ are written as,

$$LC_{ijk} = 3 (a_{ijk} - a_{ij} a_k - a_{jk} a_i - a_{ki} a_j + 2 a_i a_j a_k).$$
 (1.4)

It is well-known that a Finsler space is Riemannian, iff $C_{ijk}=0$. This characterization of Riemannian metric is nothing but the equation $\dot{\partial}_i \dot{\partial}_j \dot{\partial}_k L^2=0$. Similarly a quartic metric $L^4(x, y)$ is characterized by the equation $\dot{\partial}_h \dot{\partial}_i \dot{\partial}_j \dot{\partial}_k L^4=0$. By direct computation we get generally,

$$\dot{\partial}_{h} \dot{\partial}_{i} \dot{\partial}_{j} \dot{\partial}_{k} \dot{\partial}_{m} L^{4} = 8 \left[L T_{hijk} \right]_{m} + l_{m} T_{hijk} + l_{h} T_{ijkm} + l_{i} T_{hjkm} + l_{j} T_{hikm}$$

$$+ l_{k} T_{hiim} + L^{2} A_{hiikm} + L B_{hiikm} + C_{hiikm}$$

$$(1.5)$$

where

$$\begin{split} A_{hijkm} &= C_{jm}^{\lambda} \left(C_{\lambda h \mu} \, C_{ik}^{\mu} + C_{\lambda i \mu} \, C_{hk}^{\mu} + C_{\lambda k \mu} \, C_{hi}^{\mu} \, \right) + C_{km}^{\lambda} \left(C_{\lambda h \mu} \, C_{ij}^{\mu} + C_{\lambda i \mu} \, C_{hj}^{\mu} \right) \\ &\quad + C_{\lambda j \mu} \, C_{hi}^{\mu} \, \right) + C_{im}^{\lambda} \left(C_{\lambda h \mu} \, C_{jk}^{\mu} + C_{\lambda j \mu} \, C_{hk}^{\mu} + C_{\lambda k \mu} \, C_{hj}^{\mu} \right) \\ &\quad + \, C_{hm}^{\lambda} \left(C_{\lambda i \mu} \, C_{jk}^{\mu} + C_{\lambda j \mu} \, C_{ik}^{\mu} + C_{\lambda k \mu} \, C_{ij}^{\mu} \right). \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{B}_{hijkm} &= \mathbf{C}_{hi}^{\lambda} \; \mathbf{T}_{\lambda jkm} + \mathbf{C}_{jk}^{\lambda} \; \mathbf{T}_{\lambda him} + \mathbf{C}_{hj}^{\lambda} \; \mathbf{T}_{\lambda ikm} + \mathbf{C}_{hk}^{\lambda} \; \mathbf{T}_{\lambda ijm} + \mathbf{C}_{hm}^{\lambda} \; \mathbf{T}_{\lambda ijk} + \mathbf{C}_{ij}^{\lambda} \; \mathbf{T}_{\lambda hkm} \\ &\quad + \mathbf{C}_{jm}^{\lambda} \; \mathbf{T}_{\lambda hik} + \mathbf{C}_{ki}^{\lambda} \; \mathbf{T}_{\lambda hjm} + \mathbf{C}_{km}^{\lambda} \; \mathbf{T}_{\lambda hij} + \mathbf{C}_{im}^{\lambda} \; \mathbf{T}_{\lambda hjk} \; . \end{split}$$

$$\begin{aligned} C_{hijkm} &= 2h_{hi} C_{jkm} + h_{km} C_{hij} + 2h_{hj} C_{ikm} + 2h_{jk} C_{him} + h_{im} C_{hjk} + h_{jm} C_{hik} \\ &+ 2h_{ki} C_{him} + 2h_{ii} C_{hkm} + h_{hm} C_{iik} + 2h_{hk} C_{iim}. \end{aligned}$$

In terms of well-known T-tensor T_{hijk} (§28.20, [2]) given in [3]. The equation (1.5) is rewritten in the form, if we put,

$$U_{hijkm} = L T_{hijk} \Big|_{m} + l_{m} T_{hijk} + l_{h} T_{ijkm} + l_{i} T_{hjkm} + l_{j} T_{hikm} + l_{k} T_{hijm}$$
is
$$U_{hijkm} + L^{2} A_{hijkm} + L B_{hijkm} + C_{hijkm} = 0.$$
(1.5)'

Theorem 1. A Finsler space is one with a quartic metric, iff the equation (1.5)' holds identically.

Corollary. [3]. A two dimensional Finsler space with a quartic metric is characterized by the equation $I_{;2;2} + 10 II_{;2} + 4I (3I^2 + 4) = 0$, where I is the main scalar and $I_{;2} = Lm^i \dot{\partial}_i I$ and $I_{;2;2} = Lm^i \dot{\partial}_i I_{;2}$.

Let us specialize the characterization theorem of three-dimensional case, similarly to corollary. In a strongly non-Riemannian Finsler space F^3 ([10], [11], [12], § 29 of [2]), we can refer to the Moor frame (l^i, m^i, n^i) and the main scalars H, I, J appear.

Theorem 2. A strongly non-Riemannian Finsler space of three dimensions is a quartic Finsler space, iff the following equations hold good:

1.
$$C_{222;2;2} + 12 (H^3 + J^2I) + 10(HC_{222;2} - JC_{322;2}) + 16 H = 0$$

2.
$$C_{222;2;3} - 12 (H^2J + JI^2) + (6HC_{222;3} - 6JC_{322;3} - 4JC_{222;2} + 4IC_{322;2}) - 12J = 0$$

3.
$$C_{222;3;2} - (12H^2J + 6I^2J + 3J^3 - 3J^2I) + \{2H(2C_{223;2} + C_{222;3}) - 2J(2C_{323;2} + 2C_{222;2} + C_{322;3}) + 4IC_{322;2}\} -9J = 0.$$

4.
$$C_{222;3;3} + (9J^2H + 6I^3 + 3J^3 - 3J^2I + 3H^2I) + \{3H(2C_{223;2} - J(3C_{323;3} + 5C_{222;3}) + I(5C_{322;3} + C_{222;3} + C_{323;2})\} + H + 6I = 0$$

5.
$$C_{233;2;2} + (10J^2H + 2H^2I - 8J^2I + 4I^3) + \{3HC_{232;2} - J(5C_{232;2} + 3C_{333;2}) + I(6C_{332;2} + C_{222;2})\} + 2H + 4I = 0$$

6.
$$C_{233;2;3} + (4J^2I + 10I^2J - 8HIJ - 2J^3) + \{HC_{233;3} - J(3C_{232;3} + C_{333;3}) + I(4C_{332;3} + 2C_{333;2} + 2C_{223;2} + C_{222;3})\} - 2J = 0$$

7.
$$C_{233;3;2} + (9I^2J + 6J^2I - 6HIJ - 3J^2) + \{HC_{233;3} - J(2C_{233;2} + C_{333;3} + C_{223;3}) + I(5C_{333;2} + 3C_{223;2} + C_{323;3})\} - 5J = 0$$

8.
$$C_{233;3;3} + (21IJ^2 + 3I^2H) + \{I(4C_{333;3} + 4C_{223;3} + 2C_{233;2}) + 2JC_{333;2}\} + 9I = 0$$

9.
$$C_{333;2;2} + (9I^2J - 9J^3 - 6HIJ) + \{HC_{233;3} - J(2C_{233;2} + C_{333;3} + C_{232;3}) + I(3C_{232;2} + 5C_{333;2} + C_{332;3})\} - 5J = 0$$

10.
$$C_{333;2;3} + (21IJ^2 + 3I^2H) + \{H(4C_{232;2} + 2C_{222;3}) - J(4C_{323;2} + 4C_{222;2} + 2C_{322;3}) + 4IC_{322;2}\} + 9I = 0$$

11.
$$C_{333:3:2} + 24J^2I + \{I(6C_{233:2} + 4C_{333:3}) + J(6C_{333:2} - 4C_{233:3})\} + 12I = 0$$

12.
$$C_{333;3;3} + 12 (J^3 - I^2 J) + 10 IC_{233;3} + 10 J C_{333;3} + 16 J = 0$$

13.
$$C_{223;2;2} + (3J^3 - 12H^2J - 9I^2J) + \{H(4C_{232;2} + 2C_{222;3}) - J(4C_{222;2} + 4C_{332;2} + 2C_{322;3}) + 4IC_{322;2}\} - 9J = 0$$

14.
$$C_{223;2;3} + (3H^2I - 6IJ^2 + J^2H + 6I^3) + \{3HC_{232;3} - J(5C_{222;3} + 3C_{322;3}) + I(5C_{322;3} + C_{222;2} + C_{332;2})\} + H + 6I = 0$$

15.
$$C_{223;3;2} + (10HJ^2 + 4I^3 - 8J^2I + 2H^2I) + \{H(2C_{232;3} + C_{233;2}) + I(4C_{323;2} + C_{222;2} + 2C_{322;3}) - J(3C_{223;2} + 2C_{222;3} + 2C_{332;3} + C_{333;2})\} - 2H + 4I = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} 16. \ \ C_{223;3;3} + & (10I^2J - 8HIJ - 6J^3) + \{HC_{233;3} - J(6C_{223;2} - 3C_{322;3} + C_{333;3}) \\ & + I\left(6C_{323;2} + 3C_{222;3}\right)\} - 2J = 0. \end{aligned}$$

That is v-scalar derivatives $C_{222;2;2}$, $C_{223;3;3}$ of main scalars H, I, J are functions of main scalars.

Remark. It is known (§29 of [2]) that the first v-covariant derivatives vanish identically and in this case second v-covariant derivatives also vanishes identically.

2. Certain important tensors of quartic Finsler spaces

The h-and v-covariant derivatives $X_{i|j}$, $X_i|_j$ of a covariant vector field X_i w.r.t. $C\Gamma$ are defined by

$$X_{i|ij} = \dot{\partial}_i X_i - (\dot{\partial}_r X_i) N_j^r - X_r F_{ij}^r , \qquad X_i \big|_j = \dot{\partial}_j X_i - X_r C_{ij}^r .$$

where $(F^i_{jk}, N^i_j(F^i_{0j}), C^i_{jk})$ are connection coefficients of $C\Gamma$ and suffix 0 means the contraction by the supporting element y^i .

As to a Finsler space with a quartic (0.1) it follows first from (1.2)(a).,(c). That,

$$a_{i|i} = 0$$
 $a_{ij|k} = 0.$ (2.1)

Because $l_{\rm ilj} = 0$ and $g_{\rm ijlk} = 0$. These are remarkable identities as it will be seen in the following. Then the h-covariant differentiation of (1.4) leads us the simple equation

$$LC_{ijk|h} = 3a_{ijk|h}. (2.2)$$

Therefore the (v) hv-torsion tensor P_{iik} given by (§ 17.22 of [2]) written as,

$$LP_{ijk} = LC_{ijk|0} = 3a_{ijk|0}.$$
 (2.3)

As a consequence of these equations, the equation (§ 17.23 of [2]) expressing the hv-curvature tensor $P_{\rm hiik}$ yields

$$2L^{2}P_{hijk} = 6L (a_{ijklh} - a_{hjkli}) - 3(a_{ij}^{\lambda} a_{\lambda hkl0} - a_{hj}^{\lambda} a_{\lambda ikl0}) + 3(a_{i} a_{hjkl0} - a_{h} a_{ijkl0})$$
 (2.4)

Definition (§ (25) of [2]). 1. A Finsler space is called a Berwald space (or affinely connected space), if the tensor C_{iiklh} vanishes identically.

2. A Finsler space is called a Landsberg space, if the (v) hv-torsion tensor P_{ijk} vanishes identically.

It is noted that the condition $P_{ijk} = 0$ is equivalent to $P_{hijk} = 0$ (§ Theorem 25.3 of [2]). From (2.2) and (2.3), we have

Theorem 3. A quartic Finsler space is a Berwald space (resp. Landsberg space), iff the tensor a_{ijklh} (resp. a_{ijkl0}) vanishes identically, where the h-covariant differentiation is the one with respect to the Cartan connection.

3. A characteristic Finsler connection in a quartic Finsler space

First of all we remember equation (1.2)(c) giving the fundamental tensor g_{ij} of a quartic Finsler space F^n . The tensor is different from the intrinsic metric tensor a_{ij} in a regular F^n . Nevertheless we have

$$L^{2}(x, y) = g_{ii}(x, y) y^{i} y^{j} = a_{ii}(x, y) y^{i} y^{j}.$$
 (3.1)

This is very interesting equation, F^n is regarded as a generalized metric space of line-element in Moor's sense [4], because there is generally no such a function M(x, y) that a_{ij} is given by $a_{ij} = \dot{\partial}_i \dot{\partial}_j M^2/2$. A. Moor has developed various interesting results on the geometry of generalized metric space of line-element.

In viewpoint of (3.1) it seems natural to us to consider the problem determining a Finsler connection based on the intrinsic metric tensor $a_{ij}(x, y)$.

Theorem 4. In a regular quartic Finsler space Fn a Finsler connection $*C\Gamma = (*F^i_{jk}, *N^i_j, *C^i_{jk})$ is uniquely determined from the intrinsic metric tensor $a_{ij}(x, y)$ by the following five axioms:

- 1. It is h-metrical: $a_{iilk} = 0$
- 2. It is v-metrical: $a_{ii}|_{k} = 0$
- 3. It is h-symmetric: $*T_{ik}^i = *F_{ik}^i *F_{ki}^i = 0$
- 4. It is v-symmetric: $*S_{ik}^{i} = *C_{ik}^{i} *C_{ki}^{i} = 0$
- 5. Its deflection tensor vanishes : $y_{ij}^i = *N_j^i *F_{0j}^i = 0$,

where $_{l}$ and $_{l}^{l}$ denote respectively the h- and v-covariant differentiations with respect to *C Γ . Then the connection coefficients * F^{i}_{jk} and * N^{i}_{j} , coincide with F^{i}_{jk} and N^{i}_{j} respectively and * $C^{i}_{jk} = C^{i}_{jk} + \frac{2}{3L} h_{jk} t^{i}$, where $C\Gamma = (F^{i}_{jk}, N^{i}_{j}, C^{i}_{jk})$ is the Cartan connection.

Remark. In theorem 4 a Finsler connection is the concept given in (§ 9 of [2]). It is noteworthy that the above system of axioms is similar to the one for $C\Gamma$ (Definition 17.2 of [2]). It is, however, remarked that in case of $C\Gamma$ the identities $(\dot{\partial}_k \ g_{ij}) \ y^i = (\dot{\partial}_k \ g_{ij}) \ y^k = 0$, $\dot{\partial}_k \ g_{ij} = \dot{\partial}_j \ g_{ik}$ are full used, but for the intrinsic metric tensor a_{ij} such identities do not hold except $(\dot{\partial}_k \ a_{ij}) \ y^k = 0$. We shall show another proof in the following.

Proof. The axioms (2) and (4) lead us immediately to,

$$*C_{jk}^{i} = a^{ir} \left(\dot{\partial}_{k} a_{jr} + \dot{\partial}_{j} a_{kr} - \dot{\partial}_{r} a_{jk} \right) / 2. \tag{3.2}$$

That is the coefficients ${}^*C^i_{jk}$ of v-covariant differentiation are Christoffel symbols constructed from $a_{ij}(x, y)$ with respect to y^i . Differentiation of (1.4) by y^k yields

$$\dot{\partial}_{k} a_{ij} = \frac{2}{3} \left[C_{ijk} + \frac{h_{ij} l_{k} + h_{jk} l_{i}}{2L} \right].$$
 (3.3)

Thus (3.2), (3.3) and (1.3) give the relation

$$*C_{jk}^{i} = C_{jk}^{i} + \frac{2}{3L} h_{jk} l^{i}.$$
 (3.4)

Secondly, we consider the difference $D^i_{jk}={}^*F^i_{jk}-F^i_{jk}$, since deflection vanishes so, ${}^*F^i_{jk}=F^i_{jk}$. Then the axiom (3) means $D^i_{jk}=D^i_{kj}$ and (5) does $D^i_{0k}={}^*N^i_j-N^i_k$. Then ${}^*N^i_j=N^i_j$.

4. Decomposable quartic metrics

Theorem 5. Let F^n be a quartic Finsler space with the metric $L^4 = \alpha^2 \overline{\alpha}^2$ where $\alpha^2 = \alpha_{ij} y^i y^j$ and $\overline{\alpha}^2 = \overline{\alpha}_{ij} y^i y^j$ are non degenerate. The space F^n is Berwald space if there exists a covariant vector fields $\gamma_k(x)$ such as $\alpha_{ijlk} = \alpha_{ij} \gamma_k$ and $\overline{\alpha}_{ijlk} = -\overline{\alpha}_{ij} \gamma_k$ holds good, conversely, it is Berwald space, if

$$\alpha_{iilk} = \alpha_{ii} \gamma_k$$
, $\overline{\alpha}_{iilk} = -\overline{\alpha}_{ii} \gamma_k$ and $(\hat{\partial}_m a_{iik})_{lh} = 0$ holds good.

Proof. The symmetric coefficients $a_{ijk}(x)$ of L^4 are given by

$$6a_{ijkm} = \alpha_{ij}^2 \overline{\alpha}_{km}^2 + \alpha_{km}^2 \overline{\alpha}_{ij}^2 + \alpha_{ik}^2 \overline{\alpha}_{jm}^2 + \alpha_{jm}^2 \overline{\alpha}_{ik}^2 + \alpha_{im}^2 \overline{\alpha}_{kj}^2 + \alpha_{kj}^2 \overline{\alpha}_{im}^2. \tag{4.1}$$

The sufficiency is obvious from (4.1), Theorem (3) and $(\partial_m a_{ijk})_{lh} = 0$. Next, we obtain from $L^4 = \alpha^2 \overline{\alpha}^2$ and $L_{li} = 0$.

$$\alpha_{li}^2 \overline{\alpha}^2 + \alpha^2 \overline{\alpha}_{li}^2 = 0. \tag{4.2}$$

If the space F^n is a Berwald space, then the connection coefficients F^i_{jk} of $C\Gamma$ are function of position x alone (§ Proposition (25.1) of [2]), hence α^2 and $\overline{\alpha}^2$ ($\alpha^2_{l\,i}$ and $\overline{\alpha}^2_{l\,i}$) are polynomials of the second degree in y^i . Since α^2 is assumed to be non-degenerate, (4.2) implies that there exists a vector fields γ_k such as $\alpha_{ij|k} = \alpha_{ij} \gamma_k$ and $\overline{\alpha}_{ii|k} = -\overline{\alpha}_{ij} \gamma_k$, from which the equations stated in Theorem 5 are concluded.

Theorem 6. Let F^n be a quartic Finsler space with the metric $L^4 = \alpha^2 \ \beta \overline{\beta}$ where $\alpha^2 = \alpha_{ij} \ y^i \ y^j$ is non-degenerate, $\beta = \beta_i \ y^i$ and $\overline{\beta} = \overline{\beta}_i \ y^i$. The space F^n is a Berwald space if there exists a covariant vector fields γ_k (x) such as $\alpha_{ijlk} = \alpha_{ij} \ \gamma_k$ and $\beta_{ilj} = -\beta_i \ \gamma_j/2$, $\overline{\beta}_{ilj} = -\overline{\beta}_i \ \gamma_j/2$ holds good, conversely, it is Berwald space if $\alpha_{ijlk} = \alpha_{ij} \ \gamma_k$, $\beta_{ilj} = -\beta_i \ \gamma_j/2$ and $\overline{\beta}_{ilj} = -\beta_i \ \gamma_j/2$ and $\overline{\beta}_{ilj} = 0$ holds good.

Proof. The symmetric coefficients $a_{ijk}(x)$ of L^4 are given by

$$\begin{split} 12a_{ijkm} &= \alpha_{ij} \ \beta_k \ \overline{\beta}_m + \alpha_{ij} \ \beta_m \ \overline{\beta}_k + \alpha_{km} \ \beta_i \ \overline{\beta}_j + \alpha_{km} \ \beta_j \ \overline{\beta}_i + \ \alpha_{jk} \ \beta_i \ \overline{\beta}_m \\ &+ \alpha_{jk} \ \beta_m \ \overline{\beta}_i + \alpha_{im} \ \beta_j \ \overline{\beta}_k + \alpha_{im} \ \beta_k \ \overline{\beta}_j + \alpha_{ik} \ \beta_j \ \overline{\beta}_m + \alpha_{ik} \ \beta_m \ \overline{\beta}_j \\ &+ \alpha_{jm} \ \beta_i \ \overline{\beta}_k + \alpha_{jm} \ \beta_k \ \overline{\beta}_i \ . \end{split} \tag{4.3}$$

The sufficiency is obvious from (4.3), Theorem (3) and $(\dot{\partial}_m \, a_{ijk})_{lh} = 0$. Next, we obtain from $L^4 = \alpha^2 \, \beta \overline{\beta}$ and $L_{li} = 0$

$$\alpha_{lh}^2 \beta \overline{\beta} + \alpha^2 \beta_{lh} \overline{\beta} + \alpha^2 \beta \overline{\beta}_{lh} = 0. \tag{4.4}$$

If the space F^n is a Berwald space, then the connection coefficients F^i_{jk} of $C\Gamma$ are function of position x alone (§ Proposition (25.1) of [2]), hence α^2 and $\alpha^2_{l\,i}$ (resp. β and β_{lh} , $\overline{\beta}$ and $\overline{\beta}_{lh}$) are polynomials of the second (resp. first) degree in y^i . Since α^2 is assumed to be non-degenerate, (4.4) implies that there exists a vector fields $\gamma_i(x)$ such as $\alpha^2_{l\,i}=\alpha^2\,\gamma_i,\ \beta_{li}=-\,\beta\gamma_i/2,\ \overline{\beta}_{li}=-\,\overline{\beta}\gamma_i/2$ and, from which the equations stated in Theorem 6 are concluded.

Theorem 7. Let F^3 be three-dimensional Finsler space with 1-form metric $L^4 = a^1 \ a^2 \ a^3 \ a^4$. F^3 is a Berwald space if there exist four covariant vector field γ_i^{α} (x) = $(\alpha = 1, 2, 3, 4)$ such as $\gamma_i^1 + \gamma_i^2 + \gamma_i^3 + \gamma_i^4 = 0$, $a_{ilj}^{\alpha} = a_i^{\alpha} \ \gamma_j^{\alpha}$ (not sum in α), where we put $a^{\alpha} = a_i^{\alpha}$ (x) y^i , ($\alpha = 1, 2, 3, 4$), conversely, it is Berwald space if $a_{ilj}^{\alpha} = a_i^{\alpha} \ \gamma_j^{\alpha}$, $\gamma_i^1 + \gamma_i^2 + \gamma_i^3 + \gamma_i^4 = 0$ and $(\dot{\partial}_m \ a_{ijk})_{lh} = 0$ holds good.

Proof. This L is a quartic metric with the symmetric coefficients,

$$24a_{ijkm} = a_{i}^{1} a_{j}^{2} a_{k}^{3} a_{m}^{4} + a_{i}^{1} a_{j}^{2} a_{m}^{3} a_{k}^{4} + a_{j}^{1} a_{i}^{2} a_{k}^{3} a_{m}^{4} + a_{j}^{1} a_{i}^{2} a_{m}^{3} a_{k}^{4} + a_{j}^{1} a_{i}^{2} a_{m}^{3} a_{k}^{4} + a_{k}^{1} a_{m}^{2} a_{i}^{3} a_{j}^{4} + a_{m}^{1} a_{k}^{2} a_{j}^{3} a_{k}^{4} + a_{j}^{1} a_{k}^{2} a_{m}^{3} a_{k}^{4} + a_{j}^{1} a_{k}^{2} a_{m}^{3} a_{j}^{4} + a_{j}^{1} a_{k}^{2} a_{m}^{3} a_{i}^{4} + a_{j}^{1} a_{k}^{2} a_{m}^{3} a_{i}^{4} + a_{j}^{1} a_{k}^{2} a_{j}^{3} a_{k}^{4} + a_{j}^{1} a_{k}^{2} a_{m}^{3} a_{k}^{4} + a_{j}^{1} a_{k}^{2} a_{j}^{3} a_{j}^{4} + a_{j}^{1} a_{k}^{2} a_{j}^{3} a_{k}^{4} + a_{j}^{1} a_{k}^{2} a_{j}^{3} a_{j}^{4} + a_{j}^{1} a_{j}^{2} a_{j}^{3} a_{j}^{4} + a_{j}^{1} a_{j}$$

Therefore the sufficiency of the condition is obvious from (4.5) and Theorem (3) and $(\partial_m a_{ijk})_{lh} = 0$. Next we obtain from $L^4 = a^1 a^2 a^3 a^4$

$$a_{|i}^{1} a^{2} a^{3} a^{4} + a_{|i}^{1} a^{3} a^{4} + a_{|i}^{1} a^{3} a^{4} + a_{|i}^{1} a^{2} a_{|i}^{3} a^{4} + a_{|i}^{1} a^{2} a^{3} a_{|i}^{4} = 0.$$
 (4.6)

In the similar way to the proof of theorem 5 it follows from (4.6) and linear independence that there exist $\gamma_i^{\alpha}(x)$ satisfying $a_{ij}^{\alpha} = a^{\alpha} \gamma_j^{\alpha}$. Then (4.6) implies $\gamma_i^1 + \gamma_i^2 + \gamma_i^3 + \gamma_i^4 = 0$.

References

- Matsumoto, M. and Numata, S.: On Finsler spaces with a cubic metric, Tensor, N.S., 33, 1979.
- Matsumoto, M.: Foundations of Finslet geometry and special Finsler spaces, Kaiseisha Press, Saikawa, Otsu, Japan, 1986.
- Antonelli, P. L., Ingarden, R. S. and Matsumoto, M.: The theory of sparys and Finsler spaces with applications in physics and biology, Kluwer Academic Publications, Dordecht/Boston/London, 1993.
- 4. Moor, A.: Entwicklung einer Geometric der allgemeinen metrischen Linienelementraume, Acta Sci. Math., (Szeged), 17, 1956, 85-120.
- Kropina, V. K.: Projective two-dimensional Finsler spaces with special metric, (Russian), Trudy Sem. Vektor. Tenzor. Anal., 11, 1962, 277-292.
- Wagner, V. V.: Two-dimensional space with metric defined by a cubic differential form, (Russian and English), Abh. Tschern, Staat Univ. Saratow, 1, 1938, 29-40.
- Wagner, V. V.: On generalized Berwald spaces, C. R. Dokl. Acad. Sci., URSS, N.S., 39, 1943, 3-5.

- 8. Wegener, J. M.: Untersuchung der zwei-und dreidimensionalen Finslershen Raume mit der Grundform $L^3 = a_{ik'} x'^i x'^k x'^l$, Akad. Wetensch. Proc., 38, 1935, 949-955.
- 9. Wegener, J. M.: Untersuchung uber Finslersche Raume, Lotos Prag., 84, 1936, 4-7.
- 10. Matsumoto, M.: A theory of three-dimensional Finsler spaces in terms of scalars, Demonst. Math., 6, 1973, 223-251.
- 11. Matsumoto, M.: Strongly non-Riemannian Finsler spaces, Analele Univ. dinlasi, 23, 1977, 141-149.
- 12. Matsumoto, M. and Miron, R.: On an invariant theory of the Finsler spaces, Period. Math., Hungar, 8, 1977, 73-82.

