

The Study of Decomposition of Curvature Tensor Field in a Kaehlerian Recurrent Space of First Order

K. S. Rawat and Kunwar Singh

Department of Mathematics
 H. N. B. Garhwal University Campus, Badshahi Thaul,
 Tehri Garhwal-249199, Uttarakhand, India
 (Received : 28 September, 2008)

Abstract

Takano [2] have studied decomposition of curvature tensor in a recurrent space. Sinha and Singh [3] have been studied and defined decomposition of recurrent curvature tensor field in a Finsler space. Singh and Negi studied decomposition of recurrent curvature tensor field in a Kaehlerian space. Negi and Rawat [6] have studied decomposition of recurrent curvature tensor field in Kaehlerian space. Rawat and Silswal [11] studied and defined decomposition of recurrent curvature tensor fields in a Tachibana space. In the present paper, we have studied the decomposition of curvature tensor fields R_{ijk}^h in terms of two non-zero vectors and a tensor field in a Kaehlerian recurrent space of first order and several theorems have been established and proved. The relation between projective curvature tensor P_{ijk}^h and Riemannian curvature tensor R_{ijk}^h is established therein.

Keywords : Käehlerian recurrent space, curvature tensor, projective curvature tensor.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2000 : 53C05, 53C25.

1. Introduction

When in a $2n$ -dimensional real space X_{2n} of class C^r ($r \geq 2$), there is given a mixed tensor field F_i^h ; $R_{i,j,\dots} = 1, 2, 3, \dots, 2n$, satisfying

$$F_i^l F_l^h = -A_i^h. \quad (1.1)$$

We say that the space admits an almost complex structure and we call such a space an almost complex space. If an almost complex space has a positive definite Riemannian metric $ds^2 = g_{ij} d\xi^j d\xi^i$ which satisfies

$$F_j^l F_l^k g_{lk} = g_{ji}, \quad (1.2)$$

then the space is called an Almost-Hermitian space.

In this case the tensor $F_{ih} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} F_i^l g_{lh}$ is anti symmetric (or skew-symmetric) in i and h . If an almost-Hermitian space satisfies

$$\nabla_j F_{ih} + \nabla_i F_{hj} + \nabla_h F_{ji} = 0, \quad (1.3)$$

where ∇ denotes the operator of covariant differentiation with respect to the metric tensor g_{ji} of the Riemannian space then it is called an almost-Kaehlerian space and if it satisfies

$$\nabla_j F_{ih} + \nabla_i F_{jh} = 0, \quad (1.4)$$

then it is called a K-space.

In an almost-Hermitian space, if

$$\nabla_j F_{ih} = 0, \quad \text{or} \quad \nabla_i F_{ih,j} = 0. \quad (1.5)$$

Then it is called a Kaehlerian space.

The Riemannian curvature tensor field is defined by

$$R_{ijk}^h = \partial_i \left\{ \begin{matrix} h \\ jk \end{matrix} \right\} - \partial_j \left\{ \begin{matrix} h \\ ik \end{matrix} \right\} + \left\{ \begin{matrix} h \\ il \end{matrix} \right\} \left\{ \begin{matrix} l \\ jk \end{matrix} \right\} - \left\{ \begin{matrix} h \\ jl \end{matrix} \right\} \left\{ \begin{matrix} l \\ ik \end{matrix} \right\}, \quad (1.6)$$

where $\partial_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$ and $\{x^i\}$ denotes real local coordinates.

The Ricci tensor and the scalar curvature are given by

$$R_{ij} = R_{aij}^a \quad \text{and} \quad R = R_{ij} g^{ij} \quad \text{respectively.}$$

It is well known that these tensors satisfy the following identities

$$R_{ijk,a}^a = R_{jk,i} - R_{ik,j}, \quad (1.7)$$

$$R_{,i} = 2R_{i,a}^a, \quad (1.8)$$

$$F_i^a R_{aj} = -R_{ia} F_j^a, \quad (1.9)$$

$$\text{and} \quad F_i^a R_a^j = R_i^a F_a^j. \quad (1.10)$$

The holomorphically projective curvature tensor is defined by

$$P_{ijk}^h = R_{ijk}^h + \frac{1}{(n+2)} (R_{ik} \delta_j^h - R_{jk} \delta_i^h + S_{ik} F_j^h - S_{jk} F_i^h + 2 S_{ij} F_k^h), \quad (1.11)$$

where $S_{ij} = F_i^a R_{aj}$.

The Bianchi identities in K^n are given by

$$R_{ijk}^h + R_{jki}^h + R_{kij}^h = 0, \quad (1.12)$$

and

$$R_{ijk,a}^h + R_{kia,j}^h + R_{iaj,k}^h = 0. \quad (1.13)$$

The commulative formulae for the curvature tensor fields are given as follows

$$T_{jk}^i - T_{kj}^i = T^a R_{ajk}^i \quad (1.14)$$

$$\text{and} \quad T_{i,ml}^h - T_{i,lm}^h = T_i^a R_{aml}^h - T_a^h R_{iml}^a. \quad (1.15)$$

A kaehlerian space K_n is said to be Kaehlerian recurrent space of first order if its curvature tensor field satisfies the condition

$$\nabla_a R_{ijk}^h = \lambda_a R_{ijk}^h,$$

$$\text{i.e.,} \quad R_{ijk,a}^h = \lambda_a R_{ijk}^h, \quad (1.16)$$

where λ_a is a non-zero vector and is known as recurrent vector field. The space is said to be Ricci-recurrent space of first order, if it satisfies the condition

$$R_{ij,a} = \lambda_a R_{ij}. \quad (1.17)$$

Multiplying the above equation by g^{ij} , we have

$$R_{,a} = \lambda_a R. \quad (1.18)$$

2. Decomposition of Curvature Tensor Field R_{ijk}^h

We consider the decomposition of curvature tensor field R_{ijk}^h in the following form

$$R_{ijk}^h = v^h X_i \phi_{jk} \quad (2.1)$$

where two vectors v^h , X_i and the tensor field ϕ_{jk} are such that

$$\lambda_h v^h = 1. \quad (2.2)$$

Theorem 2.1. Under the decomposition (2.1), the Bianchi identities for R_{ijk}^h takes the forms

$$X_i \phi_{jk} + X_j \phi_{ki} + X_k \phi_{ij} = 0, \quad (2.3)$$

$$\text{and} \quad \lambda_a \phi_{jk} + \lambda_j \phi_{ka} + \lambda_k \phi_{aj} = 0. \quad (2.4)$$

Proof. From equation (1.12) and (2.1), we have

$$v^h [X_i \phi_{jk} + X_j \phi_{ki} + X_k \phi_{ij}] = 0.$$

Since $v^h \neq 0$

$$X_i \phi_{jk} + X_j \phi_{ki} + X_k \phi_{ij} = 0. \quad (2.5)$$

From equations (1.13), (1.16) and (2.1), we have

$$v^h X_i [\lambda_a \phi_{jk} + \lambda_j \phi_{ka} + \lambda_k \phi_{aj}] = 0. \quad (2.6)$$

Multiplying (2.6) by λ_h and using (2.2), we get

$$X_i [\lambda_a \phi_{jk} + \lambda_j \phi_{ka} + \lambda_k \phi_{aj}] = 0.$$

Since $X_i \neq 0$

$$\lambda_a \phi_{jk} + \lambda_j \phi_{ka} + \lambda_k \phi_{aj} = 0. \quad (2.7)$$

Theorem 2.2. Under the decomposition (2.1), the tensor fields R_{ijk}^h , R_{ij} and ϕ_{jk} satisfy the relations

$$\lambda_a R_{ijk}^a = \lambda_i R_{jk} - \lambda_j R_{ik} = X_i \phi_{jk}. \quad (2.8)$$

Proof. With the help of equations (1.7), (1.16) and (1.17), we have

$$\lambda_a R_{ijk}^a = \lambda_i R_{jk} - \lambda_j R_{ik}. \quad (2.9)$$

Multiplying (2.1) by λ_h and using relation (2.2), we have

$$\lambda_h R_{ijk}^h = X_i \phi_{jk}$$

$$\text{or} \quad \lambda_a R_{ijk}^a = X_i \phi_{jk}. \quad (2.10)$$

From equation (2.9) and (2.10), we get the required relations (2.8).

Theorem 2.3. Under the decomposition (2.1), the quantities λ_a and v^h behave as recurrence vector and contravariant vector respectively. The recurrent form of these quantities are given by

$$\lambda_{a,m} = \mu_m \lambda_a \quad (2.11)$$

$$\text{and} \quad v_{,m}^h = -\mu_m v^h. \quad (2.12)$$

Proof. Differentiating (2.9) covariantly with respect to x^m and using (2.1), we get

$$\lambda_{a,m} v^a X_i \phi_{jk} = \lambda_{i,m} R_{jk} - \lambda_{j,m} R_{ik}. \quad (2.13)$$

Multiplying (2.13) by λ_a and using (2.2) and (2.8), we have

$$\lambda_{a,m} (\lambda_i R_{jk} - \lambda_j R_{ik}) = \lambda_a (\lambda_{i,m} R_{jk} - \lambda_{j,m} R_{ik}). \quad (2.14)$$

Now, multiplying (2.14) by λ_h on both sides, we get

$$\lambda_{a,m} (\lambda_i R_{jk} - \lambda_j R_{ik}) \lambda_h = \lambda_h \lambda_a (\lambda_{i,m} R_{jk} - \lambda_{j,m} R_{ik}). \quad (2.15)$$

Since, the expression on the right hand side of (2.15) is symmetric in a and h , therefore

$$\lambda_{a,m} \lambda_h = \lambda_{h,m} \lambda_a \quad (2.16)$$

provided $\lambda_i R_{jk} - \lambda_j R_{ik} \neq 0$.

The vector field λ_a being non-zero, we can have a proportional vector μ_m such that

$$\lambda_{a,m} = \mu_m \lambda_a. \quad (2.17)$$

Further, differentiating (2.2), w. r. to x^m covariantly and using relation (2.17), we have

$$\lambda_h v_{,m}^h + v^h \mu_m \lambda_h = 0,$$

$$\text{or} \quad v_{,m}^h + v^h \mu_m = 0, \quad [\text{since } \lambda_h \neq 0]$$

$$\text{i.e.} \quad v_{,m}^h = -\mu_m v^h. \quad (2.18)$$

This proves the theorem.

Theorem 2.4. Under the decomposition (2.1), the vector X_i and the tensor ϕ_{jk} satisfies the relation

$$\{\lambda_m + \mu_m\} X_i \phi_{jk} = X_i \phi_{jk,m} + \phi_{jk} X_{i,m}. \quad (2.19)$$

Proof. Differentiating (2.1) covariantly w. r. to x^m and using (1.16), (2.1) and (2.12), we get the required result (2.19).

Theorem 2.5. Under the decomposition (2.1), the curvature tensor and holomorphically projective curvature tensor are equal if

$$\phi_{km} \{(X_i \delta_j^h - X_j \delta_i^h) + X_l (F_i^l F_j^h - F_j^l F_i^h)\} + 2X_l \phi_{jm} F_i^l F_k^h = 0. \quad (2.20)$$

Proof. The equation (1.11) may be written in the form

$$P_{ijk}^h = R_{ijk}^h + D_{ijk}^h, \quad (2.21)$$

$$\text{where} \quad D_{ijk}^h = \frac{1}{(n+2)} (R_{ik} \delta_j^h - R_{jk} \delta_i^h + S_{ik} F_j^h - S_{jk} F_i^h + 2 S_{ij} F_k^h), \quad (2.22)$$

contracting indices h and k in (2.1), we have

$$R_{ij} = v^k X_i \phi_{jk}. \quad (2.23)$$

In view of (2.23), we get

$$S_{ij} = F_i' v^m X_j \phi_{jm}. \quad (2.24)$$

Making use of relations (2.23) and (2.24) in (2.22), we have

$$D_{ijk}^h = \frac{1}{(n+2)} [v^m \phi_{km} \{(X_i \delta_j^h - X_j \delta_i^h) + X_l (F_i' F_j^h - F_j' F_i^h)\} + 2v^m X_i \phi_{jm} F_i' F_k^h]. \quad (2.25)$$

From (2.22), it is clear that

$$P_{ijk}^h = R_{ijk}^h \quad \text{if} \quad D_{ijk}^h = 0,$$

which in view of (2.25) becomes

$$v^m \phi_{km} \{(X_i \delta_j^h - X_j \delta_i^h) + X_l (F_i' F_j^h - F_j' F_i^h)\} + 2v^m X_i \phi_{jm} F_i' F_k^h = 0. \quad (2.26)$$

Multiplying (2.26) by λ_m and using relation (2.2), we get the required condition (2.20)

Theorem 2.6. Under the decomposition (2.1), the scalar curvature R , satisfy the relation

$$\lambda_k R = R_{,k} = g^{ij} X_i \phi_{jk}.$$

Proof. Contracting indices h and k in (2.1), we have

$$R_{ij} = v^k X_i \phi_{jk}. \quad (2.27)$$

Multiplying (2.27) by g^{ij} both sides, we have

$$R = g^{ij} v^k X_i \phi_{jk}. \quad (2.28)$$

Multiplying (2.28) by λ_k and using (2.2), we have

$$\lambda_k R = g^{ij} X_i \phi_{jk}.$$

or,

$$R_{,k} = g^{ij} X_i \phi_{jk} \quad [\text{by using (1.18)}].$$

References

1. Tachibana, S. : On the Bochner curvature tensor, Nat. Sci. Report, Ochanomizu Univ. 18 (1) (1967), 15-19.

2. Takano, K. : Decomposition of curvature tensor in a recurrent space, Tensor (N. S), 18 (3) (1967), 343-347.
3. Sinha, B. B. and Singh, S. P. : On decomposition of recurrent curvature tensor fields in a Finsler space, Bull. Cal. Math. Soc., 62 (1970), 91-96.
4. Lal, K. B. and Singh, S. S. : On Kaehlerian spaces with recurrent Bochner curvature, Academic Nazionale Dei.Lincei, Series VIII, Vol.LI, 3-4 (1971), 213-220.
5. Yano, K. : Differential Geometry on complex and almost complex spaces, Pergamon Press (1965).
6. Negi, D. S. and Rawat, K. S. : Decomposition of recurrent curvature tensor fields in a Kaehlerian space, Acta Cien. Ind., Vol. XXI, No. 2M, (1995), 139-142.
7. Negi, D. S. and Rawat, K. S. : The study of decomposition in a Kaehlerian space, Acta Cien. Ind., Vol. XXIII M, No. 4 (1997), 307-311.
8. Rawat, K. S. : The decomposition of recurrent curvature tensor fields in a Kaehlerian space, Acta Cien. Ind. Vol. XXXI M, No. 1 (2005), 135-138.
9. Rawat, K. S. and Silswal, G. P. : Decomposition of recurrent curvature tensor fields in a Kaehlerian recurrent space, Acta Cien. Ind., Vol. XXXI M, No. 3 (2005), 795-799.
10. Rawat, K. S. and Silswal, G. P. : The study of projective motion in an almost Tachibana recurrent space, Jour. PAS, Vol.12 (ser. A) (2006), 47-53.
11. Rawat, K. S. and Silswal, G. P. : Decomposition of recurrent curvature fields in a Tachibana space, Jour. PAS, Vol.13 (ser. A) (2007), 13-17.
12. Rawat, K. S. and Dobhal, Girish : Some theorems on Decomposition of Tachibana recurrent space, Jour. PAS, Vol.13 (ser. A) (2007), 458-464.