

Common fixed point theorems for C -class functions in b -metric spaces with application

Rakesh Tiwari*, Shashi Thakur¹, Nidhi²

1

*Department of Mathematics, Government V. Y. T. Post-Graduate Autonomous College, Durg 491001, Chhattisgarh, India. E-mail: rtiwari@govtsciencecollegedurg.ac.in

¹Department of Mathematics, C.L.C. Government Arts and Commerce College, Dhamdha, Chhattisgarh, India. E-mail: shashithakur89520@gmail.com

²Department of Mathematics, Government V. Y. T. Post-Graduate Autonomous College, Durg 491001, Chhattisgarh, India.

Abstract: In this paper, we establish the existence and uniqueness of common fixed point theorems for self mappings satisfying the common limit range property with respect to mappings S and T and common (E.A)-property via the concept of C -class functions in b -metric spaces. We furnish two examples to validate our results. Our results improve various results appeared in the current literature. As an application, We provide the existence of a solution of an integral equation.

Subject Classification: 47H10, 54H25

Keywords: Fixed point, Common fixed point, Weakly compatible maps, Common (E.A)-property, CLR_{ST} -property, C -class functions.

1 Introduction

In the development of nonlinear analysis, fixed point theory occupies a renowned place in many aspects. It has been used in different branches of engineering and sciences. In particular, the famous Banach contraction principle is very popular tool of mathematics to solve a problems in several branches of mathematics such as variational and linear inequalities and approximation theory. Sessa S. [22] introduced the concept of weakly commuting and G. Jungck [16] introduced the concept of compatibility, Jungck and Rhoades [17] introduced the notion of weak compatibility. Bakhtin [6] introduced the concept of b -metric spaces which is a generalization of metric spaces. After that,

¹Corresponding author

Czerwik [11, 12] defined it such as current structure which is consider a generalization of metric spaces. Several authors have been interested in investigating fixed point and common fixed point theorems for mappings in b -metric spaces [5, 7, 14, 23].

Ansari [2] introduced the notion of C -class function as a major generalization of Banach contraction principle and obtained some fixed point results. Subsequently, many authors were interested in fixed point theorems for C -class function [4, 20]. Most recently, some authors obtained fixed point and common fixed point for C -class function [3, 8, 13, 21, 24].

2 Preliminaries

We recall some definitions which will be used in the sequel.

Definition 2.1. [6] Let X be a non-empty set and $s \geq 1$ a given real number. A function $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a b -metric if for each $x, y, z \in X$, the following conditions are satisfied.

1. $d(x, y) = 0$ iff $x = y$,
2. $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$,
3. $d(x, z) \leq s[d(x, y) + d(y, z)]$.

In this case, the pair (X, d) is called a b -metric space.

Definition 2.2. [9] Let (X, d) be a b -metric space. Then a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is called

1. b -convergent if and only if there exists $x \in X$ such that $d(x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
In this case, we write $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$.
2. b -Cauchy if and only if $d(x_n, x_m) \rightarrow 0$, as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$.

Definition 2.3. [10] Let (X, d) be a b -metric space. Then a subset $Y \subset X$ is called closed if and only if for each sequence $\{x_n\}$ in Y which converges to an element x , we have $x \in Y$.

Definition 2.4. [10] The b -metric space (X, d) is complete if every b -Cauchy sequence in X is b -convergent.

Definition 2.5. [16] Let f and g be given self mappings on a set X . The pair (f, g) is said to be weakly compatible if f and g commute at their coincidence point (i.e $fgx = gfx$ whenever $fx = gx$).

Definition 2.6. [2] A mapping $F : [0, \infty)^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called C -class function if it is continuous and satisfies following axioms:

1. $F(s, t) \leq s$,

2. $F(s, t) = s$ implies that either $s = 0$ or $t = 0$.

Let us denote \mathcal{C} the family of C -class functions.

Remark 2.7. [2] Clearly, for some F we have $F(0, 0) = 0$.

Example 2.8. [2] The following functions $F : [0, \infty)^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are elements of \mathcal{C} , for all $s, t \in [0, \infty)$:

1. $F(s, t) = s - t$, $F(s, t) = s \Rightarrow t = 0$;
2. $F(s, t) = ms$, $0 < m < 1$, $F(s, t) = s \Rightarrow s = 0$;
3. $F(s, t) = s\beta(s)$, $\beta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1)$ is continuous, $F(s, t) = s \Rightarrow s = 0$;
4. $F(s, t) = s - \varphi(s)$, $F(s, t) = s \Rightarrow s = 0$, here $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a continuous function such that $\varphi(t) = 0 \Leftrightarrow t = 0$.

Definition 2.9. [18] A function $\psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is called an altering distance function if the following properties are satisfied.

1. ψ is nondecreasing and continuous,
2. $\psi(t) = 0$ if and only if $t = 0$.

Definition 2.10. [2] An ultra altering distance function is a continuous nondecreasing mapping $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that $\varphi(t) > 0$, $t > 0$ and $\varphi(0) \geq 0$.

Definition 2.11. [25] Two self maps f and S of a metric space (X, d) are said to satisfy common limit range property with respect to S , denoted by (CLR_S) if there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S x_n = t \text{ where } t \in S(X).$$

Definition 2.12. [15] Two pairs (f, S) and (g, T) of self mappings of a metric space (X, d) are said to satisfy common limit range property with respect to S and T , denoted (CLR_{ST}) if there exists two sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ in X such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g y_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T y_n = t \text{ where } t \in S(X) \cap T(X).$$

Definition 2.13. [1] Let S and T be two self mappings of a metric space (X, d) . We say that S and T satisfy $(E.A)$ -property if there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T x_n = t, \text{ for some } t \in X.$$

Definition 2.14. [19] Two pairs (A, S) and (B, T) of self mappings of a metric space (X, d) are said to satisfy common $(E.A)$ -property if there exists two sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ in X such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} B y_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T y_n = t,$$

for some $t \in X$.

In this paper, we establish the existence and uniqueness of common fixed point theorems for self mappings satisfying the common limit range property with respect to mappings S and T and common (E.A)-property via the concept of C -class functions in b -metric spaces. We furnish two examples to validate our results. Our results improve various results appeared in the current literature. We apply our result to the existence of a solution of an integral equation.

3 Main Result

Now, we state and prove our main results as follows:

Theorem 3.1. *Let (X, d) be a b -metric space and $f, g, S, T : X \rightarrow X$ be mappings with $f(X) \subseteq T(X)$ and $g(X) \subseteq S(X)$ such that*

$$\psi(sd(fx, gy)) \leq F(\psi(M_s(x, y)), \varphi(M_s(x, y))), \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X, \quad (3.1)$$

$$\text{where } M_s(x, y) = \max\{d(Sx, Ty), d(fx, Sx), d(gy, Ty), \frac{d(fx, Ty) + d(Sx, gy)}{2s}\}.$$

Suppose that the pairs (f, S) and (g, T) satisfy the CLR_{ST} -property then the pairs (f, S) and (g, T) have a point of coincidence in X . Moreover, if the pairs (f, S) and (g, T) are weakly compatible, then f, g, S and T have a unique common fixed point.

Proof. If the pairs (f, S) and (g, T) satisfy the CLR_{ST} -property, then there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ in X satisfying

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gy_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ty_n = z,$$

for some $z \in S(X) \cap T(X)$. Since $z \in S(X)$, then there exists a point $p \in X$ such that $Sp = z$. Now, we show that $fp = z$. We put $x = p$ and $y = y_n$ in (3.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(d(fp, gy_n)) &\leq \psi(sd(fp, gy_n)) \\ &\leq F(\psi(M_s(p, y_n)), \varphi(M_s(p, y_n))), \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

where

$$M_s(p, y_n) = \max\{d(Sp, Ty_n), d(fp, Sp), d(gy_n, Ty_n), \frac{d(fp, Ty_n) + d(Sp, gy_n)}{2s}\}$$

taking limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M_s(p, y_n) &= \max\{d(z, z), d(fp, z), d(z, z), \frac{d(fp, z) + d(z, z)}{2s}\} \\ &= d(fp, z). \end{aligned}$$

Taking limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.2) and definition of ψ and φ , we get

$$\psi(d(fp, z)) \leq F(\psi(d(fp, z)), \varphi(d(fp, z))),$$

which implies $\psi(d(fp, z)) = 0$ or $\varphi(d(fp, z)) = 0$ which gives $fp = z$. Thus p is a coincidence point of the pair (f, S) . Since the pair (f, S) is weakly compatible and $fp = Sp$, therefore $fSp = Sfp$ which implies that $fz = Sz$.

Since $z \in T(X)$, then there exists a point $q \in X$ such that $Tq = z$. Now, we show that $gq = z$. We put $x = p$ and $y = q$ in (3.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(d(fp, gq)) &\leq \psi(sd(fp, gq)) \\ &\leq F(\psi(M_s(p, q)), \varphi(M_s(p, q))), \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

$$\text{where } M_s(p, q) = \max\{d(Sp, Tq), d(fp, Sp), d(gq, Tq), \frac{d(fp, Tq) + d(Sp, gq)}{2s}\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} M_s(p, q) &= \max\{d(z, z), d(z, z), d(gq, z), \frac{d(z, z) + d(z, gq)}{2s}\} \\ &= d(z, gq). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, from (3.3) and definition of ψ and φ , we get

$$\psi(d(z, gq)) \leq F(\psi(d(z, gq)), \varphi(d(z, gq))),$$

which implies $\psi(d(z, gq)) = 0$ or $\varphi(d(z, gq)) = 0$ which gives $gq = z$. Thus q is a coincidence point of the pair (g, T) . Since the pair (g, T) is weakly compatible and $gq = Tq$, therefore $gTq = Tgq$ which implies that $gz = Tz$.

Now we show that z is a common fixed point of the pair (f, S) . Putting $x = z$, $y = q$ in (3.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(d(fz, gq)) &\leq \psi(sd(fz, gq)) \\ &\leq F(\psi(M_s(z, q)), \varphi(M_s(z, q))), \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

$$\text{where } M_s(z, q) = \max\{d(Sz, Tq), d(fz, Sz), d(gq, Tq), \frac{d(fz, Tq) + d(Sz, gq)}{2s}\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} M_s(z, q) &= \max\{d(fz, z), d(fz, Sz), d(z, z), \frac{d(fz, z) + d(fz, z)}{2s}\} \\ &= d(fz, z). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, from (3.4) and definition of ψ and φ , we get

$$\psi(d(fz, z)) \leq F(\psi(d(fz, z)), \varphi(d(fz, z))),$$

which implies $\psi(d(fz, z)) = 0$ or $\varphi(d(fz, z)) = 0$ which gives $fz = z$. Hence $fz = z = Sz$. Thus z is a common fixed point of the pair (f, S) . Similarly, we can show that $gz = z = Tz$. Hence z is a common fixed point of f, g, S and T .

Now, we show that z is the unique common fixed point. Let t be another common fixed point of f, g, S and T . Putting $x = z, y = t$ in (3.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(d(fz, gt)) &\leq \psi(sd(fz, gt)) \\ &\leq F(\psi(M_s(z, t)), \varphi(M_s(z, t))), \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

$$\text{where } M_s(z, t) = \max\{d(Sz, Tt), d(fz, Sz), d(gt, Tt), \frac{d(fz, Tt) + d(Sz, gt)}{2s}\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} M_s(z, t) &= \max\{d(z, t), d(z, z), d(t, t), \frac{d(z, t) + d(z, t)}{2s}\} \\ &= d(z, t). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, from (3.5) and definition of ψ and φ , we get

$$\psi(d(z, t)) \leq F(\psi(d(z, t)), \varphi(d(z, t))),$$

which implies $\psi(d(z, t)) = 0$ or $\varphi(d(z, t)) = 0$ which gives $z = t$. Hence z is the unique common fixed point of the pair f, g, S and T . \square

Remark 3.2. *If $\psi(t) = t$, we get the following result.*

Corollary 3.3. *Let (X, d) be a b -metric space and $f, g, S, T : X \rightarrow X$ be mappings with $f(X) \subseteq T(X)$ and $g(X) \subseteq S(X)$ such that*

$$sd(fx, gy) \leq F(M_s(x, y), \varphi(M_s(x, y))), \text{ for all } x, y \in X,$$

$$\text{where } M_s(x, y) = \max\{d(Sx, Ty), d(fx, Sx), d(gy, Ty), \frac{d(fx, Ty) + d(Sx, gy)}{2s}\}.$$

Suppose that the pairs (f, S) and (g, T) satisfy the CLR_{ST} -property then the pairs (f, S) and (g, T) have a point of coincidence in X . Moreover, if the pairs (f, S) and (g, T) are weakly compatible, then f, g, S and T have a unique common fixed point.

Remark 3.4. *If we put $g = f$ and $S = T$ in the Theorem 3.1, we get the following result.*

Corollary 3.5. *Let (X, d) be a b -metric space and $f, T : X \rightarrow X$ be mappings with $f(X) \subseteq T(X)$ such that*

$$\psi(sd(fx, fy)) \leq F(\psi(M_s(x, y)), \varphi(M_s(x, y))), \text{ for all } x, y \in X,$$

$$\text{where } M_s(x, y) = \max\{d(Tx, Ty), d(fx, Tx), d(fy, Ty), \frac{d(fx, Ty) + d(Tx, fy)}{2s}\}.$$

Suppose that the pair (f, T) satisfy the CLR_T -property then the pair (f, T) has a point of coincidence in X . Moreover, if the pair (f, T) is weakly compatible, then f and T have a unique common fixed point.

Corollary 3.6. *Let (X, d) be a b-metric space and $f, g, S, T : X \rightarrow X$ be mappings with $f(X) \subseteq T(X)$ and $g(X) \subseteq S(X)$ such that*

$$\psi(d(fx, gy)) \leq F(\psi(M_s(x, y)), \varphi(M_s(x, y))), \text{ for all } x, y \in X$$

$$\text{where } M_s(x, y) = \max\{d(Sx, Ty), d(fx, Sx), d(gy, Ty), \frac{d(fx, Ty) + d(Sx, gy)}{2s}\}.$$

Suppose that the pairs (f, S) and (g, T) satisfy the CLR_{ST} -property then the pairs (f, S) and (g, T) have a point of coincidence in X . Moreover, if the pairs (f, S) and (g, T) are weakly compatible, then f, g, S and T have a unique common fixed point.

Now, we illustrate an example to validate our main Theorem 3.1.

Example 3.7. *Consider $X = [0, 12]$, $d(x, y) = \max\{x, y\}$, $\psi(t) = t$, $\varphi(t) = \frac{t}{10}$ and $F(s, t) = \frac{9}{10}s$. Then (X, d) is a b-metric space with constant $s = \frac{7}{5}$. Define the mappings f, g, S and T on X such that*

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x \in \{0\} \cup (5, 12]; \\ 3, & \text{if } x \in (0, 5]; \end{cases} \quad g(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x \in \{0\} \cup (5, 12]; \\ 4, & \text{if } x \in (0, 5]; \end{cases} \quad 11\}$$

$$S(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x = 0; \\ 7, & \text{if } x \in (0, 5]; \\ \frac{x+4}{4}, & \text{if } x \in (5, 12]; \end{cases} \quad T(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x = 0; \\ 6, & \text{if } x \in (0, 5]; \\ x-5, & \text{if } x \in (5, 12]. \end{cases}$$

We take the sequence $x_n = \{0\}$ and $y_n = \{5 + \frac{1}{n}\}$. We have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gy_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ty_n = 0 \in S(X) \cap T(X).$$

Therefore, both pairs (f, S) and (g, T) satisfy the (CLR_{ST}) -property. We see that mappings (f, S) and (g, T) commute at 0 which is the coincidence point. Also, $f(X) \subseteq T(X)$ and $g(X) \subseteq S(X)$. We can verify the contraction condition (3.1) by a simple calculation for the case $x, y \in X$ as follows:

If $x, y \in (0, 5]$, then

$$\psi(sd(fx, gy)) = \frac{7}{5}4 \leq \frac{9}{10}7 = \frac{9}{10}d(Sx, Ty) \leq \frac{9}{10}\psi(M_s(x, y)).$$

Thus the contraction condition (3.1) is satisfied for $x, y \in (0, 5]$. Similarly, we can verify for other cases. Thus all the conditions of Theorem 3.1 are satisfied and 0 is the unique common fixed point of the mappings f, g, S and T .

Theorem 3.8. *Let (X, d) be a b-metric space and $f, g, S, T : X \rightarrow X$ be mappings with $f(X) \subseteq T(X)$ and $g(X) \subseteq S(X)$ satisfying the inequality (3.1). If the pairs (f, S) and (g, T) satisfy the common $(E.A)$ -property and $S(X)$ or $T(X)$ is closed. Then the pairs (f, S) and (g, T) have a point of coincidence in X . Moreover, if the pairs (f, S) and (g, T) are weakly compatible, then f, g, S and T have a unique common fixed point.*

Proof. If the pairs (f, S) and (g, T) satisfy the common $(E.A)$ -property, then there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ in X satisfying

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g y_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T y_n = z,$$

for some $z \in X$. Since $S(X)$ is closed, then there exists a point $p \in X$ such that $Sp = z$. Now, we show that $fp = z$. We put $x = p$ and $y = y_n$ in (3.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(d(fp, g y_n)) &\leq \psi(sd(fp, g y_n)), \\ &\leq F(\psi(M_s(p, y_n)), \varphi(M_s(p, y_n))), \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

$$\text{where } M_s(p, y_n) = \max\left\{d(Sp, T y_n), d(fp, Sp), d(g y_n, T y_n), \frac{d(fp, T y_n) + d(Sp, g y_n)}{2s}\right\}$$

taking limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M_s(p, y_n) &= \max\left\{d(z, z), d(fp, z), d(z, z), \frac{d(fp, z) + d(z, z)}{2s}\right\} \\ &= d(fp, z). \end{aligned}$$

Taking limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.6) and definition of ψ and φ , we get

$$\psi(d(fp, z)) \leq F(\psi(d(fp, z)), \varphi(d(fp, z))),$$

which implies $\psi(d(fp, z)) = 0$ or $\varphi(d(fp, z)) = 0$ which gives $fp = z$. Thus p is a coincidence point of the pair (f, S) . Since the pair (f, S) is weakly compatible and $fp = Sp$, therefore $fSp = Sfp$ which implies that $fz = Sz$.

Since $f(X) \subseteq T(X)$, then there exists a point $q \in X$ such that $Tq = z$. Now, we show that $gq = z$. We put $x = p$ and $y = q$ in (3.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(d(fp, gq)) &\leq \psi(sd(fp, gq)), \\ &\leq F(\psi(M_s(p, q)), \varphi(M_s(p, q))), \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

$$\text{where } M_s(p, q) = \max\left\{d(Sp, Tq), d(fp, Sp), d(gq, Tq), \frac{d(fp, Tq) + d(Sp, gq)}{2s}\right\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} M_s(p, q) &= \max\left\{d(z, z), d(z, z), d(gq, z), \frac{d(z, z) + d(z, gq)}{2s}\right\} \\ &= d(z, gq). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, from (3.7) and definition of ψ and φ , we get

$$\psi(d(z, gq)) \leq F(\psi(d(z, gq)), \varphi(d(z, gq))),$$

which implies $\psi(d(z, gq)) = 0$ or $\varphi(d(z, gq)) = 0$ which gives $gq = z$. Thus q is a coincidence point of the pair (g, T) . Since the pair (g, T) is weakly compatible and $gq = Tq$, therefore $gTq = Tgq$ which implies that $gz = Tz$.

Now we show that z is a common fixed point of the pair (f, S) . Putting $x = z, y = q$ in (3.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(d(fz, gq)) &\leq \psi(sd(fz, gq)), \\ &\leq F(\psi(M_s(z, q)), \varphi(M_s(z, q))), \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

$$\text{where } M_s(z, q) = \max\{d(Sz, Tq), d(fz, Sz), d(gq, Tq), \frac{d(fz, Tq) + d(Sz, gq)}{2s}\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} M_s(z, q) &= \max\{d(fz, z), d(fz, Sz), d(z, z), \frac{d(fz, z) + d(fz, z)}{2s}\} \\ &= d(fz, z). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, from (3.8) and definition of ψ and φ , we get

$$\psi(d(fz, z)) \leq F(\psi(d(fz, z)), \varphi(d(fz, z))),$$

which implies $\psi(d(fz, z)) = 0$ or $\varphi(d(fz, z)) = 0$ which gives $fz = z = Sz$. Thus z is a common fixed point of the pair (f, S) . Similarly, we can show that $gz = z = Tz$. Hence z is a common fixed point of f, g, S and T .

Now, we show that z is the unique common fixed point. Let t be another common fixed point of f, g, S and T . Putting $x = z, y = t$ in (3.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(d(fz, gt)) &\leq \psi(sd(fz, gt)), \\ &\leq F(\psi(M_s(z, t)), \varphi(M_s(z, t))), \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

$$\text{where } M_s(z, t) = \max\{d(Sz, Tt), d(fz, Sz), d(gt, Tt), \frac{d(fz, Tt) + d(Sz, gt)}{2s}\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} M_s(z, t) &= \max\{d(z, t), d(z, z), d(t, t), \frac{d(z, t) + d(z, t)}{2s}\} \\ &= d(z, t). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, from (3.9) and definition of ψ and φ , we get

$$\psi(d(z, t)) \leq F(\psi(d(z, t)), \varphi(d(z, t))),$$

which implies $\psi(d(z, t)) = 0$ or $\varphi(d(z, t)) = 0$ which gives $z = t$. Hence z is the unique common fixed point of the pair f, g, S and T . \square

Remark 3.9. If $\psi(t) = t$, we get the following result.

Corollary 3.10. Let (X, d) be a b -metric space and $f, g, S, T : X \rightarrow X$ be mappings with $f(X) \subseteq T(X)$ and $g(X) \subseteq S(X)$ such that

$$sd(fx, gy) \leq F(M_s(x, y), \varphi(M_s(x, y))), \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X,$$

$$\text{where } M_s(x, y) = \max\{d(Sx, Ty), d(fx, Sx), d(gy, Ty), \frac{d(fx, Ty) + d(Sx, gy)}{2s}\}.$$

Suppose that the pairs (f, S) and (g, T) satisfy the common $(E.A)$ -property and $S(X)$ or $T(X)$ is closed. Then the pairs (f, S) and (g, T) have a point of coincidence in X . Moreover, if the pairs (f, S) and (g, T) are weakly compatible, then f, g, S and T have a unique common fixed point.

Remark 3.11. If we put $g = f$ and $S = T$ in the Theorem 3.8, we get the following result.

Corollary 3.12. Let (X, d) be a b -metric space and $f, T : X \rightarrow X$ be mappings with $f(X) \subseteq T(X)$ such that

$$\psi(sd(fx, fy)) \leq F(\psi(M_s(x, y)), \varphi(M_s(x, y))), \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X,$$

$$\text{where } M_s(x, y) = \max\{d(Tx, Ty), d(fx, Tx), d(fy, Ty), \frac{d(fx, Ty) + d(Tx, fy)}{2s}\}.$$

Suppose that the pair (f, T) satisfy the common $(E.A)$ -property and one of subspaces $f(X)$ and $T(X)$ is closed. Then the pair (f, T) has a point of coincidence in X . Moreover, if the pair (f, T) is weakly compatible, then f and T have a unique common fixed point.

Corollary 3.13. Let (X, d) be a b -metric space and $f, g, S, T : X \rightarrow X$ be mappings with $f(X) \subseteq T(X)$ and $g(X) \subseteq S(X)$ such that

$$\psi(d(fx, gy)) \leq F(\psi(M_s(x, y)), \varphi(M_s(x, y))), \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X,$$

$$\text{where } M_s(x, y) = \max\{d(Sx, Ty), d(fx, Sx), d(gy, Ty), \frac{d(fx, Ty) + d(Sx, gy)}{2s}\}.$$

Suppose that the pairs (f, S) and (g, T) satisfy the common $(E.A)$ -property and $S(X)$ or $T(X)$ is closed. Then the pairs (f, S) and (g, T) have a point of coincidence in X . Moreover, if the pairs (f, S) and (g, T) are weakly compatible, then f, g, S and T have a unique common fixed point.

Now, we illustrate an example to validate our Theorem 3.8.

Example 3.14. Consider $X = [0, 1]$, $d(x, y) = \max\{x, y\}$, $\psi(t) = t$, $\varphi(t) = \frac{t}{10}$ and $F(s, t) = \frac{9}{10}s$. Then (X, d) is a b -metric space with constant $s = \frac{49}{25}$. Define the mappings f, g, S and T on X such that

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x \in \{0\} \cup (\frac{1}{2}, 1]; \\ \frac{1}{4}, & \text{if } x \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]; \end{cases} \quad g(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x \in \{0\} \cup (\frac{1}{2}, 1]; \\ \frac{1}{3}, & \text{if } x \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]; \end{cases}$$

$$S(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x = 0; \\ \frac{4}{5}, & \text{if } x \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]; \\ \frac{x+3}{12}, & \text{if } x \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1]; \end{cases} \quad T(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x = 0; \\ \frac{3}{5}, & \text{if } x \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]; \\ x - \frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } x \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1]. \end{cases}$$

We take the sequence $x_n = \{0\}$ and $y_n = \{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{n}\}$. We have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gy_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ty_n = 0 \in X.$$

Therefore, both pairs (f, S) and (g, T) satisfy the common $(E.A)$ -property. We see that mappings (f, S) and (g, T) commute at 0 which is coincidence point. Also, $f(X) \subseteq T(X)$ and $g(X) \subseteq S(X)$. We can verify the contraction condition (3.1) by a simple calculation for the case $x, y \in X$ as follows:

If $x, y \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1]$, then

$$\psi(sd(fx, gy)) = \frac{49}{25}0 \leq \frac{9}{10} \frac{1}{2} = \frac{9}{10}d(Sx, Ty) \leq \frac{9}{10}\psi(M_s(x, y)).$$

Thus the contraction condition (3.1) is satisfied for $x, y \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1]$. Similarly, we can verify for other cases. Thus all the conditions of Theorem 3.8 are satisfied and 0 is the unique common fixed point of the mappings f, g, S and T .

4 Application to system of integral equations

Let $X = C[a, b]$ be the set of all real continuous functions on $[a, b]$. Let the function $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be defined by

$$d(u, v) = \max_{a \leq r \leq b} |u(r) - v(r)|^2, \quad \text{for all } u, v \in X.$$

obviously, (X, d) is a b -metric space with parameter $s = 2$. Now, consider the integral equations:

$$u(r) = p(r) + \int_a^b G(r, s)K(r, s, S(u(t)))ds \tag{4.1}$$

$$v(r) = p(r) + \int_a^b G(r, s)J(r, s, T(v(t)))ds \tag{4.2}$$

for all $r \in [a, b]$, where $G : [a, b] \times [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $K, J : [a, b] \times [a, b] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are continuous functions.

Theorem 4.1. *Suppose that*

1. For all $r, s \in [a, b]$ and $u, v \in X$, we have

$$|K(r, s, S) - J(r, s, T)| \leq \sqrt{\frac{F(\psi(|S(u(r)) - T(v(r))|^2), \varphi(|S(u(r)) - T(v(r))|^2))}{2}}$$

$$2. \max_{a \leq r \leq b} \int_a^b G(r, s) ds \leq 1.$$

Then the integral equations (4.1) and (4.2) have a unique common solution.

Proof. Let $f, g : X \rightarrow X$ be mappings defined by

$$\begin{aligned} f(u(r)) &= p(r) + \int_a^b G(r, s) K(r, s, S(u(t))) ds \\ g(v(r)) &= p(r) + \int_a^b G(r, s) J(r, s, T(v(t))) ds \end{aligned}$$

From (4.1) and (4.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(f(u(r)), g(v(r))) &= \max_{a \leq r \leq b} |f(u(r)) - g(v(r))|^2 \\ &= \max_{a \leq r \leq b} \left\{ \left| \int_a^b G(r, s) K(r, s, S(u(t))) ds \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - \int_a^b G(r, s) J(r, s, T(v(t))) ds \right|^2 \right\} \\ &= \max_{a \leq r \leq b} \left\{ \left(\int_a^b G(r, s) ds \right)^2 \left(\int_a^b |K(r, s, S(u(t))) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - J(r, s, T(v(t)))|^2 ds \right) \right\} \\ &\leq \max_{a \leq r \leq b} \left\{ \left(\frac{F(\psi(|S(u(r)) - T(v(r))|^2), \varphi(|S(u(r)) - T(v(r))|^2))}{2} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left(\int_a^b G(r, s) ds \right)^2 \right\} \\ &\leq \frac{F(\psi(d(S(u(r)), T(v(r)))), \varphi(d(S(u(r)), T(v(r))))}{2} \\ &\leq \frac{F(\psi(M_s(u, v)), \varphi(M_s(u, v)))}{2} \end{aligned}$$

or

$$2d(f(u(r)), g(v(r))) \leq F(\psi(M_s(u, v)), \varphi(M_s(u, v)))$$

where

$$M_s(u, v) = \max \left\{ d(Su, Tv), d(fu, Su), d(gv, Tv), \frac{d(fu, Tv) + d(Su, gv)}{2s} \right\}.$$

for all $u, v \in X$

$$\psi(sd(fu, gv)) \leq F(\psi(M_s(u, v)), \varphi(M_s(u, v))).$$

Hence all hypotheses of Theorem 3.1 are satisfied. Thus, the system of integral equations (4.1) and (4.2) have a unique common solution. \square

5 Conclusion

It concludes that we have established common fixed point theorems for self mappings satisfying the common limit range property with respect to mappings S and T and common $(E.A)$ -property via the concept of C -class functions in b -metric spaces. Further we furnish examples and an application to validate our findings.

References

- [1] M. Aamri, D. El Moutawakil, Some new common fixed point theorems under strict contractive conditions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 270 (1), (2002), 181-188.
- [2] A. H. Ansari, Note on $\varphi - \psi$ -contractive type mappings and related fixed point, *The 2nd Reg. Conf. math. Appl. PNU*, (2014), 377-380.
- [3] A. H. Ansari, J. Kumar and S. Vashistha, C -class functions on common fixed point theorem of weakly compatible maps in partial metric space, *Int. J. Adv. Math.* 3, (2019), 15-23.
- [4] A. H. Ansari, W. Shatanawi, A. Kurdi, G. Maniu, Best proximity points in complete metric spaces with (P) -property via C -class functions, *J. Math. Anal.* 7, (2016), 54-67.
- [5] S. Arora, S. Mishra, Manoj Kumar and Heena, Common fixed point theorems for four self-maps satisfying (CLR_{ST}) -Property in b -Metric Spaces, *J. Physics: Conference Series*, 1531, (2020) 012083, DOI:10.1088/1742-6596/1531/1/012083.
- [6] I. A. Bakhtin, The contraction principal in quasi metric spaces, *Funct. Anal.* 30, (1989), 26-37.
- [7] G. V. R. Babu, T. M. Dula and P. S. Kumar, A common fixed point theorem in b -metric spaces via simulation function, *J. Fixed Point Theory*, (2018), ISSN: 2052-5338.
- [8] S. Beloul and A. H. Ansari, C -class function on some common fixed point theorems for weakly sub-sequentially continuous mappings in menger spaces, *Bull. Int. Math. Virt. Inst.* 8, (2018), 345-355.
- [9] M. Boriceanu, Strict fixed point theorems for multivalued operators in b -metric space, *Int. J. Mod. Math.* 4, (2009), 285-301.
- [10] M. Boriceanu, M. Bota and A. Petrusel, Multivalued fractal in b -metric spaces, *Cent. Eur. J. Math.* 8(2), (2010), 367-377.
- [11] S. Czerwik, Contraction mappings in b - metric spaces, *Acta Mat. Inf. Uni. Ostraviensis*, 1, (1993), 5-11.
- [12] S. Czerwik, Nonlinear set valued contraction mappings in b -metric spaces, *Atti Sem. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena*, 46(2), (1998), 263-276.

- [13] V. Gupta, A.H. Ansari and N. Mani, Fixed point theorem for new type of auxiliary functions, *Acta Univ. Sapientiae, Mathematica*, 12(1), (2020), 97-111, DOI: 10.2478/ausm-2020-0006.
- [14] N. Hussain, Z. D. Mitrovi and S. Radenovi, A common fixed point theorem of Fisher in b-metric spaces, *Revista de la Real Academia de Ciencias Exactas, Físicas y Naturales. Serie A, Matemáticas*, 113(4), (2018), 11pp., DOI: 10.1007/s13398-018-0524-x.
- [15] M. Imdad, S. Chauhan and Z. Kadelburg, Fixed point theorem for mappings with common limit range property satisfying generalized (ψ, ϕ) weak contractive conditions, *Math. Sci. (Springer)*, 7, Art.16, (2013), 8pp.
- [16] G. Jungck, Compatible mappings and common fixed points, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* 9, (1986), 771-779.
- [17] G. Jungck and B. E. Rhoades, Fixed points for set valued functions without continuity, *Ind. J. Pure Appl. Math.* 29, (1998), 227-238.
- [18] M. S. Khan, M. Swaleh and S. Sessa, Fixed point theorems by altering distances between the points, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* 30(1), (1984), 1-9.
- [19] Y. Liu, J. Wu and Z. Li, Common fixed points of single valued and multivalued maps, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* 19, (2005), 3045-3055.
- [20] V. Ozturk and A. H. Ansari, Common fixed point theorems for mappings satisfying (E.A)-property via C-class functions in b-metric spaces, *Appl. Gen. Topol.* 18, (2017), 45-52.
- [21] H. Qawaqneh, M. S. Md Noorani, W. Shatanawi, H. Aydi and H. Alsamir, Fixed point results for multi-valued contractions in b-metric spaces and an application, *Mathematics*, 7(2), (2019), 13pp, DOI:10.3390/math7020132.
- [22] S. Sessa, On a weak commutativity condition of mappings in fixed point consideration, *Publ. Inst. Math. Soc.* 32, (1982), 149-153.
- [23] W. Shatanawi, Fixed and common fixed point for mappings satisfying some non-linear contractions in b-metric spaces, *J. Math. Anal.* 7, (2016), 1-12.
- [24] R. Sharma and A. H. Ansari, Some fixed point theorems in an intuitionistic Mengerspace via C-class and inverse C-class functions, *Comp. and Math. Methods*, 2(3), (2020), 11pp., DOI:10.1002/cmm4.1090.
- [25] W. Sintunavarat and K. Kumam, Common fixed point theorems for a pair of weakly compatible mappings in fuzzy metric spaces, *J. Appl. Math.* Article ID 637958, V.2011, (2011), 14pp., <https://doi.org/10.1155/2011/637958>.