On a slant submanifold of a Kaehler-Norden manifold

B. K. Gupta 1 and B. B. Chaturvedi 2

¹,² Department of Pure and Applied Mathematics Guru Ghasidas Vishwavidyalaya, Bilaspur, (C.G.)-495009, India E-mail¹:brijeshggv75@gmail.com E-mail²: brajbhushan25@gmail.com

> Received: September 28, 2022 Accepted: November 15, 2022 Published: December 30, 2022

The present paper contains the study of a slant submanifold of a Kaehler-Norden manifold. Also, some results related to totally geodesic and umbilical submanifold have been derived.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 53Q60, 32V40.

Keywords: Norden metric, complex structure, slant submanifold, totally geodesic and Kaehler manifold.

1. Introduction

The idea of slant submanifold was introduced by B. Y. Chen in 1990. Chen ³ generalised the concept of holomorphic and totally real submanifold in complex geometry. In 1996, A. Lotto ⁵ extended this concept in contact manifold. Recently Siraj Uddin and Cenap Ozeln⁸ have studied a classification theorem on totally umbilical submanifolds in a cosymplectic manifold. T. Adati ¹ have studied submanifold of an almost product Riemannian manifold and defined invariant, anti-invariant and noninvariant submanifold of locally product manifold. Mehmet Atceken ² studied slant submanifold of a Riemannian product manifold in 2010. Totally umbilical properslant submanifold of a nearly Kaehler manifold was studied by K. Singh , S. Uddin and M. A. Khan ⁷, R. Prasad, S. S. Shukla, A. Haseeb and S. Kumar have studied quasi hemi-slant submanifolds of Kaehler manifolds ⁹ and Hemi-slant submanifolds in metallic Riemannian manifolds was studied by Cristina E. Hretcanu and Adara M. Blaga ¹⁰. In the cosequences of these V. A. Khan and M. A. Khan ⁴ studied semi-slant submanifold of a nearly Kaehler manifold. These studies inspired us for the study of slant submanifold of a Kaehler-Norden manifold. Throughout the paper, we have considered non-degenerate submanifolds of Kaehler-Norden manifold. This paper contains six sections, first section is the introductory. In section 2 and 3 we have defined the terms which are required for the studies. In section 4 the formation and proof of theorems are given. In section 5 and 6 discussion and conflict of interest are given.

2. Kaehler-Norden manifold

An even n-dimensional differentiable manifold M is said to be an almost complex manifold with almost complex structure F if

$$F^2 + I = 0. (1)$$

A semi Riemannian metric g is said to be an anti-Hermitian (Norden) if the metric g satisfies

$$g(FX,Y) = g(X,FY), \tag{2}$$

for any $X,Y\in TM$. An almost complex manifold M with an anti-Hermitian (Norden) metric define by (2) is called an almost anti-Hermitian (Norden) manifold. An anti-Hermitian (Norden) manifold is said to be an anti-Kaehler or Kaehler-Norden manifold if 6

$$(\overline{\nabla}_X F)Y = 0, (3)$$

where $\overline{\nabla}$ is a Levi-civita connection.

3. Submanifold

Through out this paper TM and $T^{\perp}M$ denote the Lie algebra of vector fields in M and the set of all vector fields normal to M respectively. Now if we take two connections ∇ and $\overline{\nabla}$ on M and \overline{M} then the Gauss-Weigarten farmula are given by

$$\overline{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + \sigma(X, Y), \tag{4}$$

and

$$\overline{\nabla}_X V = -A_V X + \nabla_X^{\perp} V, \tag{5}$$

for any $X,Y\in TM$ and any $V\in T^{\perp}M$, where ∇^{\perp} is the connection in the normal bundle, σ is the second fundamental form and A_V is the Weigarten endomorphism associated with V. The second fundamental form and the shap operator A are associated by

$$g(A_V X, Y) = g(\sigma(X, Y), V). \tag{6}$$

A manifold M is called totally geodesic if its second fundamental form σ vanishes that is $\sigma=0$, from (6) which gives $A_V=0$. A manifold is said to be totally umbilical submanifold in \overline{M} if for all $X,Y\in TM$, we have

$$\sigma(X,Y) = g(X,Y)H,\tag{7}$$

where H is the mean curvature vector field M in \overline{M} . If H = 0, then it is called minimal submanifold.

For any $X \in TM$, we can write

$$FX = TX + NX, (8)$$

where TX and NX are tangetial and normal part of FX respectively. Similarly, for any $V \in T^{\perp}M$, we have

$$FV = tV + nV, (9)$$

where tV and nV are tangential and normal part of FV respectively.

If NX = 0 i.e. $FX = TX \in TM$, the submanifold is said to be an invariant for any $X \in TM$. However if TX = 0 i.e. $FX = NX \in T^{\perp}M$, the submanifold is called anti-invariant $X \in TM$.

Replacing X by FX in equation (8), we get

$$F^2X = FTX + FNX. (10)$$

With the help of equations (1), (8), (9) and (10), we can write

$$-X = T^2X + TNX + NtX + NnX, (11)$$

compairing tangential and normal part of equation (11), we have

$$T^2 + Nt = -I, (12)$$

and

$$TN + Nn = 0. (13)$$

Similarly for any $V \in T^{\perp}M$, we get

$$n^2 + Nt = -I, (14)$$

and

$$tT + tn = 0. (15)$$

From equation (3), we have

$$\overline{\nabla}_X FY = F \overline{\nabla}_X Y, \tag{16}$$

using equation (8) and (9) in (16), we get

$$\nabla_X TY + \sigma(X, TY) - A_{NY} X + \nabla_X^{\perp} NY$$

$$= T\nabla_X Y + N\nabla_X Y + t\sigma(X, Y) + n\sigma(X, Y),$$
(17)

compairing tangential and normal part of equation (17), we get

$$(\nabla_X T)Y = A_{NY} X + t\sigma(X, Y), \tag{18}$$

and

$$(\nabla_X N) Y = n\sigma(X, Y) - \sigma(X, TY), \tag{19}$$

where the covariant derivative of T and N are defined by

$$(\nabla_X T)Y = \nabla_X TY - T\nabla_X Y, \tag{20}$$

and

$$(\nabla_X N) Y = \nabla_X^{\perp} NY - N\nabla_X Y, \tag{21}$$

for any $X, Y \in TM$.

Definition 1. A manifold M is said to be slant if the angle $\theta(X)$ is constant, which is independent of the choice of $x \in M$ and $X \in TM$. Invariant and anti-invariant submanifolds are slant submanifolds with slant angle $\theta = 0$ and $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$ respectively. A slant submanifold is said to be proper slant if it is neither invariant nor anti-invariant. Let M be a slant submanifold of an anti-Hermitian metric \overline{M} . The FT_xM is subspace of $T^{\perp}M$. Thus for any $x \in M$ we decompose the normal space as

$$T^{\perp}M = FTM \oplus \mu. \tag{22}$$

4. Main results

Theorem 2. Let M be a submanifold of a Kaehler-Norden manifold \overline{M} then M is slant if and only if there exists a constant $\lambda \in [-1,0]$ such that $T^2 = \lambda I$, where $\lambda = -\cos^2\theta$.

Proof: Suppose that M is slant manifold then for any $X \in TM$, we have

$$Cos\theta(X) = \frac{\|TX\|}{\|FX\|},\tag{23}$$

where $\theta(X)$ is slant angle.

With the help of equation (2) and (23), we have

$$g(T^{2}X, X) = g(TX, TX)$$

$$= Cos^{2}\theta(X)g(FX, FX)$$

$$= -Cos^{2}\theta(X)g(X, X).$$
(24)

Equation (24), can be written as

$$T^2X = -Cos^2\theta(X)X. (25)$$

Let $\lambda = -Cos^2\theta(X)$, then equation (25) becomes

$$T^2 = \lambda I, \tag{26}$$

where $\lambda \in [-1, 0]$.

Conversally suppose that $T^2 = \lambda I$, where $\lambda \in [-1, 0]$, then from equation (1), (24) and (25), we get

$$Cos\theta(X) = \frac{g(FX, TX)}{\|FX\| \|TX\|} = \frac{g(X, T^{2}X)}{\|FX\| \|TX\|}$$

$$= -\frac{Cos^{2}\theta(X)g(X, X)}{\|FX\| \|TX\|} = \frac{Cos^{2}\theta(X)g(FX, FX)}{\|FX\| \|TX\|}$$

$$= -\frac{\lambda g(FX, FX)}{\|FX\| \|TX\|} = -\frac{\lambda \|FX\|}{\|TX\|}.$$
(27)

Using equation (23) in (27), we get

$$Cos^2\theta(X) = -\lambda, (28)$$

which implies that $\theta(X)$ is constant so M is slant.

Using equation (8) in (2), we have

$$g(TX + NX, TY + NY) = -g(X, Y). \tag{29}$$

Equation (29) implies that

$$g(TX, TY) = -Cos^2\theta \ g(X, Y), \tag{30}$$

and

$$g(NX, NY) = -Sine^2\theta \ g(X, Y). \tag{31}$$

Thus we conclude:

Lemma 3. If M be a slant submanifold of a Kaehler-Norden manifold \overline{M} with slant angle θ then for any $X, Y \in TM$, we get

$$(i) g(TX, TX) = -Cos^2\theta \ g(X, Y),$$

 $(ii) g(NY, NY) = -Sine^2\theta \ g(X, Y).$

Now we propose:

Lemma 4. If M be a slant submanifold of a Kaehler-Norden manifold \overline{M} then N is parallel if and only if

$$A_{n V} Z = A_{V} T Z$$

for all $Z \in TM$ and $V \in T^{\perp}M$.

Proof: From equation (2) and (19), we get

$$g((\nabla_X N) Z, V) = g(n\sigma(X, Z) - \sigma(X, TZ), V)$$

= $g((\sigma(X, Z), nV) - g(\sigma(X, TZ)), V),$ (32)

using (6), in (32), we have

$$g((\nabla_X N) Z, V) = g(A_{n V} Z - A_V TZ, X). \tag{33}$$

If we take

$$(\nabla_X N) = 0, (34)$$

then from (33) and (34), we have

$$A_{n,V}Z = A_V TZ. (35)$$

Conversaly if

$$A_{n\,V}\,Z = A_V\,TZ,$$

then from equation (33), we get

$$(\nabla_X N) = 0.$$

Since in a Norden manifold the metric tensor g satisfies

$$g(Z, (\overline{\nabla}_Y F) X) = g((\overline{\nabla}_Y F) Z, X). \tag{36}$$

Equation (36) can be written as

$$g(Z, (\overline{\nabla}_Y FX - F\overline{\nabla}_Y X)) = g((\overline{\nabla}_Y FZ - F\overline{\nabla}_Y Z), X). \tag{37}$$

Using equation (8) in (37), we have

$$g(Z, (\overline{\nabla}_Y (TX + NX) - F \overline{\nabla}_Y X)) = g((\overline{\nabla}_Y (TZ + NZ) - F \overline{\nabla}_Y Z), X). \tag{38}$$

From (4), (5) and (38), we have

$$g(Z, (\nabla_Y TX + \sigma(Y, TX) - A_{NX} Y + \nabla_Y^{\perp} NX)) - g(Z, F\overline{\nabla}_Y X)$$

$$= g((\nabla_Y TZ + \sigma(Y, TZ) - A_{NZ} Y + \nabla_Y^{\perp} NZ), X) - g(F\overline{\nabla}_Y Z, X).$$
(39)

Using (8), (20) and (21) in (39), we get

$$g(Z, (\nabla_{Y} T)X) + g(Z, \sigma(Y, TX)) - g(Z, A_{NX} Y) + g(Z, (\nabla_{Y} N)X)$$

$$= g((\nabla_{Y} T)Z, X) + g(\sigma(Y, TZ), X) - g(A_{NZ} Y, X)$$

$$+ g((\nabla_{Y} N)Z, X) + g(Z, F\sigma(Y, X)) - g(F\sigma(Y, Z), X),$$
(40)

from (36) and (40), we have

$$g(Z, \sigma(Y, TX) - F\sigma(Y, X)) - g(\sigma(Y, TZ) - F\sigma(Y, Z), X)$$

$$= g(Z, A_{NX}Y) - g(A_{NZ}Y, X).$$
(41)

Suppose that

$$\sigma(Y, TX) = \sigma(Y, X) = 0,$$

then from equation (41), we have

$$g(Z, A_{NX}Y) = g(A_{NZ}Y, X).$$
 (42)

Thus we conclude:

Theorem 5. If M be a slant submanifold of a Kaehler-Norden manifold \overline{M} then the manifold M is totally geodesic if

$$g(Z, A_{NX}Y) = g(A_{NZ}Y, X).$$

Now we propose:

Theorem 6. If M be a slant submanifold of a Kaehler-Norden manifold \overline{M} then the manifold M is totally geodesic if

$$\nabla_X Y = 0.$$

$$g(\overline{\nabla}_X Y, Z) = -g(\overline{\nabla}_X FY, FZ). \tag{43}$$

Now using (8) in (43), we have

$$g(\overline{\nabla}_X Y, Z) = -g(\overline{\nabla}_X FY, TZ) - g(\overline{\nabla}_X FY, NZ), \tag{44}$$

from (3) and (44), we have

$$g(\overline{\nabla}_X Y, Z) = -g(\overline{\nabla}_X Y, FTZ) - g(\overline{\nabla}_X Y, FNZ), \tag{45}$$

using (8) in (45), we get

$$g(\overline{\nabla}_X Y, Z) = -g(\overline{\nabla}_X Y, T^2 Z + TNZ) - g(\overline{\nabla}_X Y, TNZ + N^2 Z), \tag{46}$$

equation (46), can be written as

$$g(\overline{\nabla}_X Y, Z) = -g(\overline{\nabla}_X Y, T^2 Z) - 2g(\overline{\nabla}_X Y, TNZ) - g(\overline{\nabla}_X Y, N^2 Z), \tag{47}$$

using lemma (23) in (47), we get

$$g(\overline{\nabla}_X Y, TNZ) = 0. (48)$$

From (4) and (48), we have

$$\nabla_X Y = -\sigma(X, Y). \tag{49}$$

If M be a totally geodesic i.e. $\sigma(X,Y)=0$, then from (49), we get

$$\nabla_X Y = 0. \tag{50}$$

Now we propose:

Theorem 7. If M is totally umbilical slant submanifold of a Kaeher-Norden manifold \overline{M} then the manifold M is minimal if and only if

$$(\nabla_{T X} N) X = 0.$$

Proof: Let M is totally umbilical submanifold then from equation (7), we have

$$\sigma(TX, TY) = g(TX, TY) H. \tag{51}$$

Now using equation (4) in (51), we get

$$\overline{\nabla}_{TX}TY - \nabla_{TX}TY = g(TX, TY)H$$

$$= -Cos^2\theta g(X, Y)H.$$
(52)

Replacing Y with X, we have

$$\overline{\nabla}_{TX}TX - \nabla_{TX}TX = -Cos^2\theta g(X, X)H$$
$$= -Cos^2\theta \|X\|^2 H.$$
(53)

Using (8) in (53), we have

$$\overline{\nabla}_{TX} F X - \overline{\nabla}_{TX} N X - \nabla_{TX} T X = -Cos^2 \theta \|X\|^2 H. \tag{54}$$

From (4), (5) and (54), we have

$$-(\nabla_{TX}T)X + (\overline{\nabla}_{TX}F)X + N\nabla_{TX}X + g(TX,X)FH$$

$$-\nabla_{TX}^{\perp}NX + A_{NX}TX$$

$$= -Cos^{2}\theta \|X\|^{2} H.$$
(55)

Taking normal part of Equation (55), we have

$$N\nabla_{TX}X - \nabla_{TX}^{\perp}NX = -Cos^{2}\theta \|X\|^{2} H.$$

$$(56)$$

Now taking inner product in equation (56) with NX, we get

$$g(N\nabla_{TX}X, NX) - g(\nabla_{TX}^{\perp}NX, NX) = -Cos^{2}\theta \|X\|^{2} g(H, NX),$$
 (57)

using (21) in (57), we get

$$g((\nabla_{TX}N)X, NX) = Cos^{2}\theta \|X\|^{2} g(H, NX).$$
 (58)

If we take

$$(\nabla_T X N) X = 0, (59)$$

then from equation (58) and (59), we get

$$H = 0. (60)$$

Conversaly if manifold be minimal i.e. H=0, then from equation (58) and (60), we get

$$(\nabla_{TX}N)X = 0.$$

Now from equation(3), we get

$$\overline{\nabla}_X FY = F \overline{\nabla}_X Y, \tag{61}$$

using (5), (6) and (8) in (61), we have

$$\nabla_X TY + g(X, TY) H - A_{NY} X + \nabla_X^{\perp} NY = T\nabla_X Y + N\nabla_X Y + F\sigma(X, Y). \tag{62}$$

From (7) and (62), we get

$$\nabla_X TY + g(X, TY) H - A_{NY} X + \nabla_X^{\perp} NY = T\nabla_X Y + N\nabla_X Y + Fg(X, Y) H.$$
 (63)

Now taking inner product of (63) by FH, we have

$$g(\nabla_{X} TY, FH) + g(X, TY) g(H, FH)$$

$$- g(NY, H) g(X, FH) + g(\nabla_{X}^{\perp} NY, FH)$$

$$= g(T\nabla_{X} Y, FH) + g(N\nabla_{X} Y, FH)$$

$$+ g(X, Y) g(FH, FH).$$
(64)

equation (64) implies

$$g(\nabla_X TY, FH) + g(X, TY) g(H, FH)$$

$$-g(NY, H) g(X, FH) + g(\nabla_X^{\perp} NY, FH)$$

$$= g(T\nabla_X Y, FH) + g(N\nabla_X Y, FH) - g(X, Y) \|H\|^2.$$
(65)

From equation (21) and (65), we get

$$g(((\nabla_X T) + (\nabla_X N))Y, FH) + g(X, TY) g(H, FH) - g(NY, H) g(X, FH)$$

$$= -g(X, Y) \|H\|^2.$$
(66)

Replacing H by FH in (66), we get

$$g(((\nabla_X T) + (\nabla_X N))Y, H) + g(X, TY) g(FH, H) - g(NY, FH) g(X, H)$$

$$= -g(X, Y) ||H||^2.$$
(67)

Equation (67) can be written as

$$H = -\frac{((\nabla_X T) + (\nabla_X N))Y + g(X, TY)FH - g(NY, FH)}{g(X, Y)}.$$
 (68)

Now if M be minimal i.e. H = 0, then from (68), we have

$$(\nabla_X T) Y + (\nabla_X N) Y = 0. \tag{69}$$

Thus we conclude:

Theorem 8. If M be a totally umbilical slant submanifold of a Kaeher-Norden manifold \overline{M} then the slant submanifold M is minimal if

$$\nabla_X T = -\nabla_X N.$$

5. Discussion

In the present we have studied slant submanifold of a Kaehler-Norden manifold. In this paper, we have proved some interesting results in Kaehler-Nordan manifold.

6. Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Adati, T. Submanifold of an almost product Riemannian manifold, Kodai Math. J. 4, (2) 327-343, (1981).
- 2. Atceken, M. Slant submanifolds of a Riemannian product manifold, Acta Mathematica Scientia, **30** B(1) 215-124, (2010).
- 3. Chen, B.Y. Geometry of Slant Submanifold, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Belgium (1990).
- 4. Khan, V. A. and Khan, M.A., Semi-slant submanifold of a nearly Kaehler manifold, Turk. J. Math. 31, 341-353, (2007).
- 5. Lotta, A. Slant submanifold in contact geometry, Bull. Math. Soc. Roumanie. 39, 183-198, (1996).
- 6. Olszak Karina, On the Bochner conformal curvature of Kaehler-Norden manifolds, Central European Journal of Mathematics, CEJM 3(2) 309-317, (2005).

- 7. Singh, K., Uddin, S. and Khan, M. A. A note on totally umbilical proper-slant submanifold of a nearly Kaehler manifold, Kuwait J. Sci. 40(1),13-22, (2013).
- 8. Uddin, S. and Ozel, C. A classification theorem on totally umbilical submanifolds in a cosymplectic manifold, Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics, 43 (4), 635-640, (2014).
- 9. Prasad R. Shukla S. S., Haseeb A. and Kumar S., *Quasi hemi-slant submanifolds of Kaehler manifolds*, Honam Mathematical J. 42 (4) 795-809, (2020).
- 10. Cristina E. Hretcanu and Adara M. Blaga, Hemi-slant submanifolds in metallic Riemannian manifolds, Carpathian J. Math. 35(1), 59-68(2019).