

J. T. S.

Vol. 6 No.1 (2012), pp.117-126
<https://doi.org/10.56424/jts.v6i01.10455>

Projectively Flat Finsler Metric with Special Curvature Properties

S. K. Narasimhamurthy, H. Anjan Kumar and Ajith Rao*

Department of PG studies and Research in Mathematics,
Kuvempu University, Shankaraghatta-577 451,
Shimoga, Karnataka, INDIA
e-mail: nmurthysk@gmail.com, kumarhsd@gmail.com

* Department of Mathematics,
Mangalore Institute of Technology & Engineering
Badaga Mijar, Moodabidre- 574225, Mangalore, Karnataka, INDIA
e-mail: ajitmrao@gmail.com
(Received: 25 March, 2011)

(Dedicated to Prof. K. S. Amur on his 80th birth year)

Abstract

The (α, β) metric is a Finsler metric which is constructed from Riemannian metric α and differential 1-form β . In this paper, we find the mean Cartan torsion I and mean Landsberg curvature J for Kropina metric $L = \frac{\alpha^2}{\beta}$ and also find the necessary and sufficient condition for $J + cLI = 0$.

Key Words : Finsler space, Projective change, Kropina metric, Projective flatness, Mean Cartan torsion, Landsberg curvature.

2000 AMS Subject Classification : 53B40, 53C60.

1. Introduction

A Finsler metric on a manifold is a family of Minkowski norms on tangent spaces. There are several notions of curvatures in Finsler geometry. The flag curvature $\mathbf{K}$ is an analogue of the sectional curvature in Riemannian geometry. The distortion τ is a basic invariant which characterizes Riemannian metrics among Finsler metrics, namely, $\tau = 0$ if and only if the Finsler metric is Riemannian. The vertical derivative of τ on tangent spaces gives rise to the mean Cartan torsion $\mathbf{I}$. The horizontal derivative of τ along geodesics is the so called *S-curvature* $\mathbf{S}$. The vertical Hessian of $(1/2)\mathbf{S}$ on tangent spaces is called

the *E-curvature*. Thus if the S-curvature is *isotropic*, so is the *E-curvature*. The horizontal derivative of $\mathbf{I}$ along geodesics is called the *mean Landsberg curvature* $\mathbf{J}$. Thus $\mathbf{J}/\mathbf{I}$ is regarded as the relative growth rate of the mean Cartan torsion along geodesics. We see how these quantities are generated from the distortion except for the flag curvature $\mathbf{K}$. X. Chen and Z. Shen [2] have studied special class of Finsler metrics- Randers metrics with special curvature properties. In this paper, we extend the above results to Kropina metric for finding mean Cartan torsion and mean Landsberg curvature.

2. Preliminary Notes

Let L be a Finsler metric on a manifold M . In a standard local coordinate system (x^i, y^i) in TM , $L = L(x, y)$ is a function of (x^i, y^i) . Let

$$g_{ij}(x, y) := \frac{1}{2} [L^2]_{y^i y^j}(x, y),$$

and $(g^{ij}) = (g_{ij})^{-1}$. For a non-zero vector $\mathbf{y} = y^i (\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i})|_{x \in T_x M}$, L induces an inner product on $T_x M$,

$$g_y(u, v) = g_{ij}(x, y) u^i v^j,$$

where $u = u^i (\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i})|_x$, $v = v^i (\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i})|_{x \in T_x M}$. $g = \{g_y\}$ is called the fundamental metric of L . For a non-zero vector $\mathbf{y} \in T_x M$, define

$$h_y(u, v) = g_y(u, v) - L^{-2}(\mathbf{y}) g_y(y, u) g_y(y, v), \quad \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in T_x M$$

$h = \{h_y\}$ is called the angular metric of L .

The geodesics of L are characterized locally by

$$\frac{d^2 x^i}{ds^2} + 2G^i \left(x, \frac{dx}{ds} \right) = 0$$

The Riemannian curvature is a family of endomorphisms $\mathbf{R}_y = R_k^i dx^k \otimes (\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}) : T_x M \longrightarrow T_x M$, defined by

$$(R_k^i)_y = 2 \frac{\partial G^i}{\partial x^k} - y^j \frac{\partial^2 G^i}{\partial x^j \partial y^k} + 2G^j \frac{\partial^2 G^i}{\partial y^j \partial y^k} - \frac{\partial G^i}{\partial y^j} \frac{\partial G^j}{\partial y^k}.$$

L is said to be constant flag curvature $\mathbf{K} = \lambda$, if

$$g_y(\mathbf{R}_y(\mathbf{u}), \mathbf{v}) = \lambda L(y)^2 h_y(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}),$$

or equivalently

$$R_k^i = \lambda \{ L^2 \delta_k^i - L L_{y^k} y^i \}.$$

There are many interesting non-Riemannian quantities in Finsler geometry [13]. Let $dV_L = \sigma(x)dx^1 \dots dx^n$ denote the volume form of L , where

$$\sigma(x) = \frac{\text{Vol}(\mathbb{B}^n(1))}{\text{Vol}\{(y^i) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid L(y^i(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}))|_x < 1\}}$$

For a non-zero vector $\mathbf{y} \in T_x M$, the distortion $\tau(\mathbf{y})$ is defined by

$$\tau(\mathbf{y}) = \ln \left[\frac{\sqrt{\det(g_{ij}(x, \mathbf{y}))}}{\sigma(x)} \right]$$

The distortion characterizes Riemannian metrics among Finsler metrics, namely, L is Riemannian if and only if $\tau = 0$.

The mean Cartan torsion $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{y}} = I_i(x, y)dx^i : T_x M \longrightarrow R$ is defined as the vertical derivative of τ on $T_x M$,

$$I_i = \frac{\partial \tau}{\partial y^i} = \frac{1}{4} g^{jk} [L^2]_{y^i y^j y^k}. \quad (2.1)$$

The S-curvature $\mathbf{S}$ is defined as the horizontal derivative of τ along geodesics,

$$\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{y}) = \frac{d}{dt} [\tau(\dot{c}(t))] |_{t=0},$$

where $c(t)$ is the geodesic with $c(0) = x$ and $\dot{c}(0) = \mathbf{y} \in T_x M$. A direction computation yields

$$\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{y}) = \frac{\partial G^i}{\partial y^i}(x, y) - \frac{y^i}{\sigma(x)} \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial x^i}(x). \quad (2.2)$$

For a non-zero $\mathbf{y} \in T_x M$, the E-curvature $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{y}} = E_{ij}(x, y)dx^i \otimes dx^j : T_x M \times T_x M \longrightarrow R$ is defined as the vertical Hessian of $(1/2)\mathbf{S}$ on $T_x M$,

$$E_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{S}_{y^i y^j} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^3 G^m}{\partial y^m \partial y^i \partial y^j}(x, y). \quad (2.3)$$

L is said to be *weakly Berwaldian* if $\mathbf{E} = 0$.

For a non-zero vector $\mathbf{y} \in T_x M$, the mean Landsberg curvature $\mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{y}} = J_i(x, y)dx^i : T_x M \longrightarrow R$ is defined as the horizontal derivative of $\mathbf{I}$ along geodesics

$$J_i = y^j \frac{\partial I_i}{\partial x^i} - I_j \frac{\partial G^j}{\partial y^i} - 2G^j \frac{\partial I_i}{\partial y^j} = -\frac{1}{2} L L_{y^l} g^{jk} \frac{\partial^3 G^l}{\partial y^i \partial y^j \partial y^k}, \quad (2.4)$$

L is said to be *weakly Landsbergian* if $\mathbf{J} = 0$.

The above mentioned geometric quantities are computable for Randers metrics. Consider a Randers metric $L = \alpha + \beta$ be a Randers metric on a manifold M , where

$$\alpha(y) = \sqrt{a_{ij}y^i y^j}, \quad \beta(y) = b_i(x)y^i,$$

with $\|\beta\|_x := \sup_{y \in T_x M} \beta(y)/\alpha(y) < 1$. An easy computation yields

$$g_{ij} = \frac{L}{\alpha} \left(a_{ij} - \frac{y_i y_j}{\alpha} \right) + \left(\frac{y_i}{\alpha} + b_i \right) \left(\frac{y_j}{\alpha} + b_j \right), \quad (2.5)$$

where $y_i = a_{ij}y^j$. By an elementary argument in linear algebra, we obtain

$$\det(g_{jk}) = \left(\frac{L}{\alpha} \right)^{n+1} \det(a_{ij}). \quad (2.6)$$

Define $b_{i \setminus j}$ by

$$b_{i \setminus j} \theta^j = db_i - b_j \theta_i^j,$$

where $\theta^i = dx^i$ and $\theta_i^j = \tilde{\Gamma}_{ik}^j dx^k$ denote the Levi-Civita connection forms of α . Let

$$\begin{aligned} r_{ij} &= \frac{1}{2} (b_{i \setminus j} + b_{j \setminus i}), \quad s_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} (b_{i \setminus j} - b_{j \setminus i}), \\ s_j^i &= a^{ih} s_{hj}, \quad s_j = b_i s_j^i, \quad e_{ij} = r_{ij} + b_i s_j + b_j s_i. \end{aligned}$$

Then the geodesics coefficients G^i are given by

$$G^i = \bar{G}^i + \frac{e_{00}}{2L} y^i - s_0 y^i + \alpha s_0^i, \quad (2.7)$$

where $\bar{G}^i$ denote the geodesics coefficients of α , $e_{00} = e_{ij} y^i y^j$, $s_0 = s_i y^i$ and $s_0^i = s_j^i y^j$ [3].

3. Mean Cartan torsion **I** and mean Landsberg curvature **J** for Kropina metric

In this section, we determine the mean Cartan torsion I and mean Landsberg curvature for Kropina metric.

Theorem 3.1. For a Kropina metric $L = \frac{\alpha^2}{\beta}$, the mean Cartan torsion $\mathbf{I} = I_i dx^i$ and the mean Landsberg curvature $\mathbf{J} = J_i dx^i$ are given by

$$I_i = \frac{1}{2} (n+1) L^{-1} \alpha^{-2} \beta^{-2} \{ \beta(2 - \alpha^2) y_i - \alpha^4 b_i \}, \quad (3.1)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
J_i = & \frac{1}{4}(n+1)L^{-2}\alpha^{-2}\beta^{-4} \left[\alpha^6 \{ \beta(4\beta s_i - 4s_0 - s_{i0}) - 2e_{00}b_i + 2e_{00} - \beta e_{i0} \} \right. \\
& - \alpha^2 \beta^2 \{ (5e_{00}y_i + 2\beta e_{i0} + 2e_{00}b_i) + (2\alpha s_{00}y_i + 6s_0y_i + 8y_i) \} \\
& + \alpha^4 \beta \{ \beta(e_{00}b_i + \beta e_{i0}) + 2\beta(2s_i - 3s_0y_i) + 4y_i(\beta + s_0) + 2e_{00}y_i \} \\
& + 2\beta^2 e_{00}y_i(5\beta + 2) - 2\alpha^7 \beta s_i \\
& + 2\alpha^3 \{ \beta s_0y_i(3\alpha^2 - 4) + 2s_0y_i(\alpha^2 + 2) - (5\alpha^2 + 6)\beta^2 s_{i0} \} \\
& \left. + 4\alpha\beta \{ 7\beta s_{00}y_i - 2\alpha s_0y_i \} \right]. \tag{3.2}
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. First substituting (2.6) into (2.1).

$$\begin{aligned}
\Rightarrow I_i &= \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} \left(\frac{1}{2} \log \left(\sqrt{\det(g_{ij}(x, y))} \right) - \log(\sigma(x)) \right), \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} \left(\log \left(\frac{L}{\alpha} \right)^{n+1} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

differentiating the above equation we get (3.1). Now we are going to compute J_i .

Let

$$H^i = \frac{e_{00}}{2L} y^i - s_0 y^i + \alpha s_0^i.$$

We can rewrite (2.4) as follows

$$J^i = y^j I_{i \setminus j} - I_j H_{i \setminus j}^j - 2H^j I_{i \setminus j}, \tag{3.3}$$

where $H_{i \setminus j}^j = \frac{\partial H^j}{\partial y^i}$, $I_{i \setminus j} = \frac{\partial I_i}{\partial y^j}$ and $I_{i \setminus j}$ are defined by

$$dI_i - I_j \frac{\partial \bar{G}^j}{\partial y^i \partial y^k} dx^k = I_{i \setminus j} dx^j + I_{i \setminus j} \left(dy^j + \frac{\partial \bar{G}^j}{\partial y^k} dx^k \right).$$

By a direct computation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{i \setminus j} &= -\frac{(n+1)}{2} L^{-2} \alpha^{-2} \beta^{-4} \{ \beta^2 (4 - 2\alpha^2) y_i y_j + \beta (\alpha^2 - 2) b_j y_i \\
&\quad - 2\alpha^4 \beta b_i y_j + \alpha^6 b_i b_j \} - (n+1) L^{-1} \alpha^{-4} \beta^{-2} y_j \{ 2\beta y_i - \alpha^2 \beta y_i - \alpha^4 b_i \} \\
&\quad + \frac{(n+1)}{2} L^{-1} \alpha^{-2} \beta^{-3} \{ (\alpha^2 - 2) b_j y_i + \beta^2 (2 - \alpha^2) a_{ij} \\
&\quad - 2\beta^2 y_i y_j + 2\alpha^4 b_i b_j - 4\beta \alpha^2 b_i y_j \}, \\
H_{i \setminus j}^j &= \frac{e_{00}}{2L} \delta_i^j + \frac{e_{i0}}{2L} y^j - \frac{e_{00}}{2L^2 \beta^2} y^j (2\beta y_i - \alpha^2 b_i) \\
&\quad - s_i y^j - s_0 \delta_i^j + \alpha s_i^j + \alpha^{-1} s_0^j y_i,
\end{aligned}$$

where $b_{i\backslash 0} = b_{i\backslash j}y^j$ and $b_{0\backslash 0} = b_{i\backslash j}y^i y^j$. Observe that

$$b_{i\backslash j} = r_{ij} + s_{ij} = e_{ij} - b_i s_j - b_j s_i + s_{ij}.$$

We have

$$b_{i\backslash 0} = e_{i0} - b_i s_0 - s_i \beta + s_{i0}, \quad b_{0\backslash 0} = e_{00} - 2s_0 \beta.$$

By these identities, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} y^j I_{i\backslash j} &= \frac{1}{2}(n+1)L^{-2}\beta^{-2}b_{0\backslash 0} \{ \beta(2-\alpha^2)y_i - \alpha^4 b_i \} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}(n+1)L^{-1}\alpha^{-2}\beta^{-2} \{ \alpha^2 b_{0\backslash 0} y_i - 2b_{0\backslash 0} y_i \} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}(n+1)L^{-1}\beta^{-3} \{ 2\alpha^2 b_{0\backslash 0} - \alpha^2 \beta b_{i\backslash 0} \} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(n+1)L^{-2}\beta^{-2} (e_{00} - 2s_0 \beta) \{ \beta(2-\alpha^2)y_i - \alpha^4 b_i \} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}(n+1)L^{-1}\alpha^{-2}\beta^{-2} \{ (\alpha^2 - 2)(e_{00} - 2s_0 \beta)y_i \} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}(n+1)L^{-1}\beta^{-3} \{ \alpha^2(2e_{00} - \beta e_{i0}) + \alpha^2 \beta s_0(b_i - 4) + \alpha^2 \beta(s_i \beta - s_{i0}) \}. \end{aligned}$$

Plugging for $H^j I_{i,j}$, $I_j H_i^j$, $y^j I_{i\backslash j}$ into (3.3) yields (3.2).

Remark 1. If $I_i = 0$ i.e., distortion τ is independent of y^i or τ is constant.

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow I_i &= \frac{1}{2}(n+1)L^{-1}\alpha^{-2}\beta^{-2} \{ \beta(2-\alpha^2)y_i - \alpha^4 b_i \} = 0 \\ &\Rightarrow b_i L^2 - \left(1 - \frac{2}{\alpha^2}\right) y_i L = 0, \end{aligned}$$

a quadratic equation in L . Solving for L , we get the metric in the form $L = \left(\frac{2}{\alpha^2} - 1\right) \frac{y_i}{b_i}$.

Theorem 3.2. If the Kropina metric $L = \alpha^2/\beta$ is projectively flat, then mean Landsberg curvature reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} J_i &= \frac{(n+1)}{4} L^{-2} \alpha^{-2} \beta^{-4} [\alpha^6 \{ -2e_{00} b_i + 2e_{00} - \beta e_{i0} \} \\ &\quad - \alpha^2 \beta^2 \{ 5e_{00} y_i + 2\beta e_{i0} + 2e_{00} b_i + 8y_i \} \\ &\quad + \alpha^4 \beta \{ \beta(e_{00} b_i + \beta e_{i0}) + 4\beta y_i + 2e_{00} y_i \} \\ &\quad + 2\beta^2 e_{00} y_i (5\beta + 2)]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

4. Kropina Metric with $\mathbf{J} + c\mathbf{LI} = 0$

As we know, the mean Landsberg curvature J can be expressed in terms of α and β . But the formula (3.2) is very complicated. So the equation $J + cLI = 0$ is complicated too. In this section, we are going to find a simpler necessary and sufficient condition for $J + cLI = 0$.

Theorem 4.3. Let $L = \alpha^2/\beta$ be a Kropina metric on a manifold M . For a scalar function $c = c(x)$ on M , the following are equivalent

- (a) $\mathbf{J} + c\mathbf{LI} = 0$
- (b) $e_{00} = \xi\alpha^4\beta - 2c(\alpha^2 - \beta^2)$, where $\xi = 60/\omega$ and β is closed.

Proof. Let $f_{ij} = e_{ij} - 2c(a_{ij} - b_i b_j)$ and $f_{i0} = f_{ij}y^j$, $f_{00} = f_{ij}y^i y^j$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha^6 (2e_{00} - 2e_{00}b_i - \beta e_{i0}) - \alpha^2 \beta^2 (5e_{00}y_i + 2\beta e_{i0} + 2e_{00}b_i) \\ & + \alpha^4 \beta^2 (e_{00}b_i + \beta e_{i0}) + 2\alpha^4 \beta e_{00}y_i + 2\beta^2 e_{00}y_i (5\beta + 2) \\ = & \alpha^6 (2f_{00} - 2f_{00}b_i - \beta f_{i0}) - \alpha^2 \beta^2 (5f_{00}y_i + 2\beta f_{i0} + 2f_{00}b_i) \\ & + \alpha^4 \beta^2 (f_{00}b_i + \beta f_{i0}) + 2\alpha^4 \beta f_{00}y_i + 2\beta^2 f_{00}y_i (5\beta + 2) \\ & + 2c(\alpha^2 - \beta^2) [2\alpha^2 + (\alpha^2 \beta^2 + 2\beta^2 - 2)(\alpha^2 b_i + \beta y_i) \\ & + \beta y_i (10\beta^2 - 5\alpha^2 \beta + 2\alpha^4 + 4\beta + 1)]. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting above equation into (3.2), we see that $\mathbf{J} + c\mathbf{LI} = 0$ if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} & \beta^2 (4\beta s_i - 4s_0 - s_{i0}) - \alpha^2 \beta^2 (2\alpha s_{00}y_i + 2s_0y_i + 8y_i) \\ & + 4\alpha^4 \beta y_i (\beta + s_0) - 2\alpha^7 \beta s_i + 2\alpha^3 \beta s_0 y_i (3\alpha^2 - 4) \\ & + 4\alpha^3 s_0 y_i (\alpha^2 + 2) + 4\alpha \beta (7\beta s_{00}y_i - 2\alpha s_0 y_i) + \alpha^6 (-2f_{00}b_i + 2f_{00} - \beta f_{i0}) \\ & - 2\alpha^2 \beta^2 f_{00}b_i + \alpha^4 \beta^2 (f_{00}b_i + \beta f_{i0}) + 2\alpha^4 \beta f_{00}y_i + 2\beta^2 f_{00}y_i (5\beta + 2) \\ & + (\alpha^2 - \beta^2) [4c\alpha^2 + 2c\alpha^2 b_i (2\beta^2 - \alpha^2 - 2)] - 2c\alpha^4 \beta^4 b_i \\ & + 2c\beta y_i (\alpha^2 - \beta^2) (12\beta^2 + \alpha^4 - 5\alpha^2 \beta + 4\beta - 1) \\ & + 2c\alpha^2 \beta y_i (2\alpha^2 - \beta^4) = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & 2\alpha^2 \beta^2 (2s_i - 3s_0 y_i) - 2\alpha \beta^2 s_{i0} (5\alpha^2 + 6) - \beta^2 (5f_{00}y_i + 2\beta f_{i0}) = 0, \\ \Rightarrow & 2\alpha^2 (2s_i - 3s_0 y_i) - 2\alpha s_{i0} (5\alpha^2 + 6) - (5f_{00}y_i + 2\beta f_{i0}) = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

Differentiating (4.2) with respect to y^j, y^k and y^l , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = & -12\beta (b_l b_j f_{ik} + b_k b_l f_{ij} + b_k b_j f_{il}) \\ & - 12b_j b_k b_l f_{i0} - 10\beta [f_{k0} (b_l a_{ij} + b_j a_{il}) + f_{l0} (b_k a_{ij} + b_j a_{ik})] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + f_{j0} (b_l a_{ik} + b - k a_{il})] - 5\beta [f_{jk} (2y_i b_l + \beta a_{il}) \\
& + f_{kl} (2b_j y_i + \beta a_{ij}) + f_{jl} (2b_k y_i + \beta a_{ik})] \\
& - 10f_{00} (b_k b_l a_{ij} + b_j b_l a_{ik} + b_j b_k a_{il}) \\
& - 10y_i (b_k b_l f_{j0} + b_j b_l f_{k0} + b_j b_k f_{l0}). \tag{4.3}
\end{aligned}$$

Contracting (3.4) with a^{kl} yields

$$\begin{aligned}
& \omega f_{ij} + 15\beta (4\alpha^2 + \lambda\beta) a_{ij} + 20\alpha (3n + 10) s_{ij} \\
& + 12(n + 4) [s_i y_j + s_j y_i] + 24s_i y_j = 0, \tag{4.4}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\omega = 94\beta^2 + 20\alpha^2 + 24\beta$, $\lambda = a^{kl} f_{kl}$. It follows from (4.4) that

$$f_{ij} = \frac{-15\beta(4\alpha^2 + \lambda\beta)a_{ij}}{\omega} - 12\frac{(2n+5)}{\omega} [s_i y_j + s_j y_i], \tag{4.5}$$

$$20\alpha(3n+10)s_{ij} = 12n(s_i y_j + s_j y_i) - 12(s_i y_j - s_j y_i). \tag{4.6}$$

Contracting (4.6) with $b^i = b_r a^{ri}$ yields

$$s_j = 0.$$

Substituting $s_j = 0$ into (4.6) we obtain that

$$s_{ij} = 0,$$

and

$$f_{ij} = \frac{-15\beta(4\alpha^2 + \lambda\beta)}{\omega} a_{ij}, \quad \text{where } \omega = 94\beta^2 + 24\beta + 20\alpha^2. \tag{4.7}$$

Now equation (3.3) simplifies to

$$\lambda [\mu b_i + \varsigma y_i + p] = 0, \tag{4.8}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu &= [15\alpha^4\beta^2 (3\alpha^4 - 2\alpha^2\beta^2 + 2\beta^2) \\
&+ \frac{1}{\lambda} \{60\alpha^6\beta (3\alpha^4 - 2\alpha^2\beta^2 + 2\beta^2) \\
&- 8c\alpha^4 (6\beta - 5\alpha^2) (\alpha^2 + 2) + 4\alpha^2\beta^4 (94 - 27\alpha^2) \\
&+ 4c\alpha^2\beta^3 (2 - \alpha^2) (12 + 47\beta^3 + 12\beta^2) - 4c\alpha^6\beta^2 (17 + 10\beta^2)\}] , \\
\varsigma &= [30\alpha^2\beta^3 (\alpha^4 - 5\beta^2 - 2\beta) + \frac{1}{\lambda} \{120\alpha^4\beta^2 (\alpha^4 - 5\beta^2 - 2\beta) \\
&- 4c\beta^5 (1 + 133\alpha^2 - 57\alpha^4) + 4c\beta^4 (12 + 160\alpha^2 - 297\alpha^4) \\
&+ 4c\alpha^2\beta^3 (44\alpha^2 + 37\alpha^4 - 11) + 8c\alpha^2\beta^2 (32\alpha^2 - 19\alpha^4 - 6) \\
&- 16 (166 + 3\alpha^2) c\beta^6 + 4c\beta^7 (564 - 47\alpha^2) + 40c\alpha^2\beta (\alpha^2 + 2) - 940c\alpha^6\beta^6\}],
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$p = \frac{1}{\lambda} [120\alpha^1 0\beta + 4c\omega (\alpha^2 - \beta^2) \alpha^2] - 30\alpha^8 \beta^2.$$

Taking $y_i = b_i$ in (4.8), we obtain

$$\lambda (\mu + \varsigma + q) b_i = 0. \quad (4.9)$$

Assume that $\beta \neq 0$. It follows from (4.9) that $\lambda = 0$. From (4.7), we conclude that $f_{ij} = -\xi \alpha^2 \beta a_{ij}$ where $\xi = 60/\omega$. Substituting this in (), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} e_{ij} &= \xi \alpha^2 \beta a_{ij} - 2c (a_{ij} - b_i b_j), \\ e_{00} &= \xi \alpha^4 \beta - 2c (\alpha^2 - \beta^2), \end{aligned}$$

where $\xi = \frac{60}{\omega}$, with $\omega = 94\beta^2 + 20\alpha^2 + 24\beta$.

Conversely, we suppose that $e_{00} = \xi \alpha^4 \beta - 2c (\alpha^2 - \beta^2)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} e_{i0} &= \xi \alpha^2 \beta y_i - 2c (y_i - b_i \beta), \\ e_{00} &= \xi \alpha^4 \beta - 2c (\alpha^2 - \beta^2). \end{aligned}$$

Considering e_{ij} terms of (3.2) we get

$$\begin{aligned} -2e_{00}b_i + 2e_{00} - \beta e_{i0} &= b_i [4c (\alpha^2 - \beta^2) - 2c\beta^2 - 2\xi \alpha^4 \beta] \\ &\quad + y_i (2c\beta - \xi \alpha^2 \beta) + 2 [\xi \alpha^4 \beta - 2c (\alpha^2 - \beta^2)], \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

$$\begin{aligned} 5e_{00}y_i + 2\beta e_{i0} + 2e_{00}b_i &= b_i [4c\beta^2 + 2\xi \alpha^4 \beta - 4c (\alpha^2 - \beta^2)] \\ &\quad + y_i [5\xi \alpha^4 \beta - 10c (\alpha^2 - \beta^2) + 2\xi \alpha^2 \beta^2 - 4c\beta], \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \beta e_{00}b_i + \beta^2 e_{i0} + 2e_{00}y_i &= b_i [\xi \alpha^4 \beta^2 - 2c\beta (\alpha^2 - \beta^2) + 2c\beta^3] \\ &\quad + y_i [\xi \alpha^2 \beta^3 - 2c\beta^2 + 2\xi \alpha^4 \beta - 4c (\alpha^2 - \beta^2)]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

Substituting them into (3.2) yields

$$\begin{aligned} J_i &= \frac{(n+1)}{2} L^{-2} \beta^{-4} [-c [2b_i \{2\alpha^4 \beta^2 + 2\beta^4 - \alpha^6 - \alpha^2 \beta^2 - \alpha^2 \beta^4\} \\ &\quad - 2\alpha^{-2} \beta y_i \{ \alpha^4 \beta^2 - \alpha^6 + (\alpha^2 - \beta^2) (5\alpha^2 \beta - 10\beta^2 - 4\beta) \} \\ &\quad + 2\alpha^4 (\alpha^2 - \beta^2)] + \xi \alpha^4 \beta \frac{b_i}{2} \{ \alpha^2 \beta^2 - 2\alpha^4 - 2\beta^2 \} \\ &\quad - \alpha^2 \beta^2 \frac{y_i}{2} \{ \xi \alpha^6 + 5\xi \alpha^4 \beta - 8\xi \alpha^2 \beta^2 - \xi \alpha^4 \beta^2 - 2\xi \alpha^4 - 4\xi \alpha^2 \beta - 4\alpha^2 - 8 \} \\ &\quad + \xi \alpha^8 \beta + \alpha^2 \beta s_i \{ 2\alpha^2 \beta - \alpha^3 - \beta \} - s_0 y_i \{ 2\alpha^4 \beta + 3\alpha^2 \beta^2 - 2\alpha^2 \beta \\ &\quad - \alpha \beta (3\alpha^2 - 4) - 2\alpha (\alpha^2 + 2) + 4\beta \} \\ &\quad + \alpha \beta \frac{s_{i0}}{2} \{ 28\beta - \alpha^3 - 12\alpha^2 \beta - 12\beta \}]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

Further, suppose that β is closed, hence $s_{ij} = 0$. From (3.1) and (4.13), we obtain

$$J_i = -\frac{1}{2}(n+1)cL^{-2}\beta - 4\{\alpha^2\beta(2-\alpha^2)y_i - \alpha^6b_i\} = -cLI_i.$$

This proves the theorem.

REFERENCES

- [1] Berwald, L. : Two-dimesional Finsler space with rectilinear externals, Annals of Mathematics, 42 no. 1, January-1941.
- [2] Chen, X. and Shen, Z. : Randers metric with special curvature properties, Osaka J. Math., 40 (2003), 87-101.
- [3] Park, H. S. and Choi, E. S. : Finsler space with the second approximate matsumoto metric, Bull. Korean Math. Soc., 39 (2002), 153-163.
- [4] Park, H. S., Park, H. Y., Kim, B. D. and Choi, E. S. : Projectively flat Finsler space with certain (α, β) -metric, Bull. Korean Math. Soc., 40 (2003), 649-661.
- [5] Berwald, L. : Uber Finsler and Cartansche geometric IV, Projective Krümmung allgemeiner affiner Räume und Finslercher Räume shalerer Krümmung, Ann. of Math., 48 (1947), 755-781.
- [6] Hashiguchi, M. and Ichijyo, Y. : Randers space with Rectilinear geodesics, Rep. Fac. Sci. Kagoshima Univ.(Math., Phys., Chem.), 13 (1980), 33-40.
- [7] Matsumoto, M. : Projective changes of Finsler metrics and projectively flat Finsler spaces, Tensor, N. S., 34 (1980), 305-315.
- [8] Antonelli, P. L., Ingarden, R. S. and Matsumoto, M. : The theory of sprays and Finsler space with applications in physics and biology, FTPH 58, Kluwer Academic Publishers, (1993).
- [9] Narasimhamurthy, S. K. and Vasant, D. M. : Projective change between two Finsler spaces with (α, β) -metrics, Accepted in KYUNGPOOK Math. Journal.
- [10] Narasimhamurthy, S. K. and Lath Kumari : Projectively flat kropina metric with $K=0$, Applied Mathematical Science, 15 no. 12 (2011), 585-593.
- [11] Chen, X., Mo, X. and Shen, Z. : On the flag curvature of Finsler metrics of scalar curvature, J. London Math. Soc., 68 (2003), 762-780.
- [12] Shen, Z. and Yildirim, G. C. : A Characterization of Randers metrics of Scalar Flag curvature, preprint.
- [13] Shen, Z. : Differential Geometry of Spray and Finsler Space, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht,(2001).