

J. T. S.

Vol. 6 No.1 (2012), pp.1-9
<https://doi.org/10.56424/jts.v6i01.10464>

Quasi Conformally flat Sasakian Hypersurface of the Generalized Recurrent Kählerian Manifold

Y. B. Maralabhavi and Hari Baskar R

Department of Mathematics
Bangalore University, Bangalore, India

Department of Mathematics
Christ University, Bangalore, India
(Received: 4 May, 2011)

(Dedicated to Prof. K. S. Amur on his 80th birth year)

Abstract

In the present paper we consider a Quasi-Conformally flat Sasakian hypersurface of the generalized recurrent Kählerian manifold and study the conditions under which it is η -Einstein. We also study the conditions for the scalar curvature to be constant, when its ricci tensor is cyclic parallel and η -parallel.

Keywords : Kählerian manifold, Sasakian Hypersurface, Quasi-Conformally flat, cyclic Ricci tensor, η -parallel Ricci tensor.

2000 AMS Subject Classification : 53D10, 53A40, 14Q10.

1. Introduction

Let M^{2n+2} be a $2n+2$ dimensional almost Hermitian manifold, with structure tensors (J, G) and the Riemannian connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ such that $J^2 = -I$ and $G(JX, JY) = G(X, Y)$. An almost Hermitian manifold with $\tilde{\nabla}J = 0$ is known as Kählerian manifold. Let $\tilde{\Omega}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})$ be the 2-form in Kählerian manifold such that

$$\tilde{\Omega}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) = G(\tilde{X}, J\tilde{Y}) = -G(J\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) = -\tilde{\Omega}(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{X}). \quad (1.1)$$

Let \tilde{K} and \tilde{S} denote the Curvature tensor and the Ricci tensor of the Kählerian manifold M^{2n+2} , respectively. Suppose that M^{2n+1} is a C^∞ hypersurface with unit normal N and the induced metric g . If di denotes the differential of the imbedding $i : M^{2n+1} \rightarrow M^{2n+2}$, X a vector field on M^{2n+1} ,

then \tilde{X} is the extension of X on M^{2n+2} and is such that \tilde{X} restricted to M^{2n+1} under the imbedding is diX . Also let $\Theta = \{e_i\}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, 2n + 1$ be an orthonormal basis of the tangent space at any point of the manifold M^{2n+1} then $\tilde{\Theta} = \{e_i, N\}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, 2n + 1$ is an orthonormal basis for the tangent space at any point on the manifold M^{2n+2} . Hence

$$G(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) = g(X, Y), \quad G(N, N) = 1, \quad G(\tilde{X}, N) = 0 \quad (1.2)$$

and its Riemannian connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ is governed by Gauss-Weingarten equations

$$\tilde{\nabla}_{\tilde{X}} \tilde{Y} = (\widetilde{\nabla_X Y}) + h(X, Y)N, \quad \tilde{\nabla}_{\tilde{X}} N = -\widetilde{H'X}, \quad (1.3)$$

where h denotes the second fundamental form and H' the corresponding Weingarten map. Also the hypersurface M^{2n+1} inherits an almost contact metric structure (φ, ξ, η, g) given by [2] [3]

$$J\tilde{X} = \widetilde{\varphi X} + \eta(X)N, \quad JN = -\tilde{\xi}. \quad (1.4)$$

The equations (1.2), (1.3) and (1.4) lead to the following conditions in M^{2n+1} :

$$\varphi^2 = -I + \eta \otimes \xi, \quad \eta \circ \varphi = 0, \quad \varphi \xi = 0, \quad \eta(\xi) = 1, \quad (1.5)$$

$$g(\varphi X, \varphi Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y), \quad \eta(X) = g(X, \xi). \quad (1.6)$$

An almost contact metric structure (φ, ξ, η, g) is Sasakian if and only if

$$(\nabla_X \varphi)Y = g(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)X. \quad (1.7)$$

If K and S denote the Curvature tensor and the Ricci tensor of the Sasakian manifold M^{2n+1} respectively, then the following conditions hold in a Sasakian manifold [2][7]:

$$S(X, \xi) = 2n \eta(X) \quad (1.8)$$

$$S(X, \varphi Y) = -S(\varphi X, Y) \quad (1.9)$$

$$S(\varphi Y, \varphi Z) = S(Y, Z) - 2n \eta(Y)\eta(Z) \quad (1.10)$$

$$g(K(\xi, X)Y, \xi) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y) \quad (1.11)$$

$$g(K(\xi, X)\xi, W) = -g(X, W) + \eta(X)\eta(W) \quad (1.12)$$

$$g(K(X, Y)\xi, Z) = g(X, Z)\eta(Y) - g(Y, Z)\eta(X) \quad (1.13)$$

$$(\nabla_X \varphi)Y = K(\xi, X)Y \quad (1.14)$$

$$\nabla_X \xi = -\varphi X \quad (1.15)$$

$$(div K)(X, Y)Z = (\nabla_X S)(Y, Z) - (\nabla_Y S)(X, Z) \quad (1.16)$$

$$(\nabla_X S)(Y, \xi) = -2ng(\varphi X, Y) + S(Y, \varphi X). \quad (1.17)$$

If Ω is the 2-form on M^{2n+1} defined by

$$\Omega(X, Y) = g(X, \varphi Y) = -g(\varphi X, Y) = -\Omega(Y, X), \quad (1.18)$$

then from (1.5), we get

$$(\nabla_X \eta)(Y) = g(X, \varphi Y). \quad (1.19)$$

The Sasakian manifold is said to be an η -Einstein manifold [1] if

$$S(X, Y) = pg(X, Y) + q\eta(X)\eta(Y), \quad (1.20)$$

where $p + q = 2n$ is a constant. On the hypersurface M^{2n+1} of the Kählerian manifold M^{2n+2} , we have the following Gauss-Codazzi equations :

$$\begin{aligned} K(X, Y, Z, W) = & \tilde{K}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z}, \tilde{W}) \\ & + h(X, W)h(Y, Z) - h(X, Z)h(Y, W), \end{aligned} \quad (1.21)$$

and

$$\tilde{K}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z}, N) = (\nabla_X h)(Y, Z) - (\nabla_Y h)(X, Z), \quad (1.22)$$

where $\tilde{K}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z}, \tilde{W}) = G(\tilde{K}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})\tilde{Z}, \tilde{W})$ and $K(X, Y, Z, W) = g(K(X, Y)Z, W)$.

A Kählerian manifold M^{2n+2} is said to be a generalized recurrent manifold [5][8] if there exists a non-zero 1-forms \tilde{A} and \tilde{B} such that

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_{\tilde{U}} \tilde{K})(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z}, \tilde{W}) = \tilde{A}(\tilde{U})\tilde{K}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z}, \tilde{W}) + \tilde{B}(\tilde{U})\tilde{F}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z}, \tilde{W}) \quad (1.23)$$

for arbitrary vector fields $\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z}, \tilde{W}$ and \tilde{U} on M^{2n+2} , where

$$\tilde{F}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z}, \tilde{W}) = G(\tilde{X}, \tilde{W})G(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z}) - G(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Z})G(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{W}), \quad (1.24)$$

and $\tilde{A}(\tilde{U}) = G(\tilde{U}, \tilde{\rho}_A)$ and $\tilde{B}(\tilde{U}) = G(\tilde{U}, \tilde{\rho}_B)$ for some vector fields $\tilde{\rho}_A, \tilde{\rho}_B$. Note that in (1.24) bars above \tilde{Y} and \tilde{W} indicate that they are swapped to get the first term from $G(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})G(\tilde{Z}, \tilde{W})$, the bars below \tilde{Y} and \tilde{Z} indicate that they are swapped to get the second term from $G(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})G(\tilde{Z}, \tilde{W})$ and the bar above \tilde{F} indicates that the second term is subtracted from the first term. We follow this code through out this paper. If the second fundamental tensor $h(X, Y)$ satisfies the condition [6][9]

$$h(X, Y) = g(X, Y) + \mu\eta(X)\eta(Y) \quad (1.25)$$

then M^{2n+1} is called a c-umbilical hypersurface. Also the immersed manifold in Kählerian manifold is Sasakian if and only if it is c-umbilical[6][9], with

$$\mu = (2n + 1)(H - 1), \quad (1.26)$$

where H is the mean curvature [6]. If H is a constant then the immersed hypersurface is called **CMC** hypersurface and in this space

$$\nabla\mu = 0. \quad (1.27)$$

The Weyl conformal curvature tensor $C(X, Y, Z, W)$ and the Quasi-conformal curvature tensor $C'(X, Y, Z, W)$ on M^{2n+1} are defined as follows [4][11]:

$$\begin{aligned} C(X, Y, Z, W) = & K(X, Y, Z, W) \\ & - \frac{1}{(2n-1)} \{ S(Y, Z) g(X, W) - S(X, Z) g(Y, W) \\ & \quad + g(Y, Z) S(X, W) - g(X, Z) S(Y, W) \} \\ & + \frac{r}{2n(2n+1)} F(X, Y, Z, W) \end{aligned} \quad (1.28)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} C'(X, Y, Z, W) = & a' K(X, Y, Z, W) \\ & + b' \{ S(Y, Z) g(X, W) - S(X, Z) g(Y, W) \\ & \quad + g(Y, Z) S(X, W) - g(X, Z) S(Y, W) \} \\ & - \frac{r}{(2n+1)} \left(\frac{a'}{2n} + 2b' \right) \bar{F}(X, \bar{Y}, \bar{Z}, \bar{W}). \end{aligned} \quad (1.29)$$

Note that by replacing $a' = 1$ and $b' = -\frac{1}{2n-1}$ in (1.29), we get (1.28).

2. Quasi-conformally flat Sasakian hypersurfaces

A Sasakian manifold M^{2n+1} is said to quasi-conformally flat [11] if

$$C'(X, Y, Z, W) = 0 \quad (2.1)$$

Therefore, by using (2.1) in (1.29), we get

$$\begin{aligned} K(X, Y, Z, W) = & - \frac{b'}{a'} \{ S(Y, Z) g(X, W) - S(X, Z) g(Y, W) \\ & \quad + g(Y, Z) S(X, W) - g(X, Z) S(Y, W) \} \\ & + \frac{r}{(2n+1)} \left(\frac{a'}{2n} + 2b' \right) \bar{F}(X, \bar{Y}, \bar{Z}, \bar{W}) \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

From (2.2) it can be verified that M^{2n+1} is η -Einstein [11], that is ,

$$S(Y, Z) = Pg(Y, Z) + Q\eta(Y)\eta(Z) \quad (2.3)$$

with $P = \frac{r}{2n+1} \left(\frac{a'}{2nb'} + 2 \right) - \left(2n + \frac{a'}{b'} \right)$, $Q = -\frac{r}{2n+1} \left(\frac{a'}{2nb'} + 2 \right) + \left(4n + \frac{a'}{b'} \right)$ and $P + Q = 2n$. On the other hand by using (2.3) in (2.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} K(X, Y, Z, W) = & \lambda_1 \bar{F}(X, \bar{Y}, \bar{Z}, \bar{W}) + (1 - \lambda_1) \{ \eta(X) \bar{F}(\xi, \bar{Y}, \bar{Z}, \bar{W}) \\ & \quad + \eta(Y) \bar{F}(X, \bar{\xi}, \bar{Z}, \bar{W}) \}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

where $\lambda_1 = \frac{r}{2n+1} \left(\frac{1}{2n} + \frac{2b'}{a'} \right) - \frac{2b'}{a'} P = \frac{4nb'}{a'} + 2 - \frac{r}{2n+1} \left(\frac{1}{2n} + \frac{2b'}{a'} \right)$. Applying ∇_U on both sides in (2.4) and using (1.5), (2.4), (1.14), (1.18) and (1.17), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\nabla_U K)(X, Y, Z, W) &= (\nabla_U \lambda_1) \{ \bar{F}(X, \bar{Y}, \bar{Z}, \bar{W}) - \eta(X) \bar{F}(\xi, \bar{Y}, \bar{Z}, \bar{W}) \\
 &\quad - \eta(Y) \bar{F}(X, \bar{\xi}, \bar{Z}, \bar{W}) \} \\
 &\quad + (1 - \lambda_1) \{ \Omega(U, X) \bar{F}(\xi, \bar{Y}, \bar{Z}, \bar{W}) \\
 &\quad + \Omega(U, Y) \bar{F}(X, \bar{\xi}, \bar{Z}, \bar{Z}) \\
 &\quad + \Omega(U, Z) \bar{F}(X, \bar{Y}, \xi, \bar{W}) \\
 &\quad + \Omega(U, W) \bar{F}(X, \bar{Y}, \bar{Z}, \bar{\xi}) \}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

So applying ∇_U to (1.21) and using (1.25), (1.19), (1.6) and (1.18), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\nabla_U K)(X, Y, Z, W) &= (\tilde{\nabla}_{\tilde{U}} \tilde{K})(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z}, \tilde{W}) \\
 &\quad + U[\mu] \{ \eta(X) \bar{F}(\xi, \bar{Y}, \bar{Z}, \bar{W}) \\
 &\quad + \eta(Y) \bar{F}(X, \bar{\xi}, \bar{Z}, \bar{W}) \} \\
 &\quad + g(U, X) \{ (W[\mu] \eta(Z) - Z[\mu] \eta(W)) \eta(Y) \} \\
 &\quad + g(U, Y) \{ (Z[\mu] \eta(W) - W[\mu] \eta(Z)) \eta(X) \} \\
 &\quad + g(U, Z) \{ (Y[\mu] \eta(X) - X[\mu] \eta(Y)) \eta(W) \} \\
 &\quad + g(U, W) \{ (X[\mu] \eta(Y) - Y[\mu] \eta(X)) \eta(Z) \} \\
 &\quad + \mu g(U, X) \{ 2\Omega(W, Z) \eta(Y) - \bar{F}(\xi, \varphi \bar{Y}, \bar{Z}, \bar{W}) \} \\
 &\quad + \mu g(U, Y) \{ 2\Omega(Z, W) \eta(X) - \bar{F}(\varphi X, \bar{\xi}, \bar{Z}, \bar{W}) \} \\
 &\quad + \mu g(U, Z) \{ 2\Omega(Y, X) \eta(W) - \bar{F}(X, \bar{Y}, \xi, \varphi \bar{W}) \} \\
 &\quad + \mu g(U, W) \{ 2\Omega(X, Y) \eta(Z) - \bar{F}(X, \bar{Y}, \varphi Z, \bar{\xi}) \} \\
 &\quad + \mu \{ \Omega(U, X) \bar{F}(\xi, \bar{Y}, \bar{Z}, \bar{W}) + \Omega(U, Y) \bar{F}(X, \bar{\xi}, \bar{Z}, \bar{W}) \} \\
 &\quad + \mu \{ \Omega(U, Z) \bar{F}(X, \bar{Y}, \xi, \bar{W}) + \Omega(U, W) \bar{F}(X, \bar{Y}, \bar{Z}, \bar{\xi}) \},
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

where $U[\mu] = \nabla_U \mu$. If the ambient manifold is generalized recurrent Kählerian manifold, then by using (1.23) in (2.6), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\nabla_U K)(X, Y, Z, W) &= A(U) K(X, Y, Z, W) \\
 &\quad + \{ B(U) - A(U) \} \bar{F}(X, \bar{Y}, \bar{Z}, \bar{W}) \\
 &\quad + \{ U[\mu] - \mu A(U) \} \{ \eta(X) \bar{F}(\xi, \bar{Y}, \bar{Z}, \bar{W}) \\
 &\quad + \eta(Y) \bar{F}(X, \bar{\xi}, \bar{Z}, \bar{W}) \}
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + g(U, X) \{ (W[\mu] \eta(Z) - Z[\mu] \eta(W)) \eta(Y) \} \\
& + g(U, Y) \{ (Z[\mu] \eta(W) - W[\mu] \eta(Z)) \eta(X) \} \\
& + g(U, Z) \{ (Y[\mu] \eta(X) - X[\mu] \eta(Y)) \eta(W) \} \\
& + g(U, W) \{ (X[\mu] \eta(Y) - Y[\mu] \eta(X)) \eta(Z) \} \\
& + \mu g(U, X) \{ 2\Omega(W, Z) \eta(Y) - \bar{F}(\xi, \underline{\varphi Y}, \underline{Z}, \bar{W}) \} \\
& + \mu g(U, Y) \{ 2\Omega(Z, W) \eta(X) - \bar{F}(\varphi X, \underline{\bar{\xi}}, \underline{Z}, \bar{W}) \} \\
& + \mu g(U, Z) \{ 2\Omega(Y, X) \eta(W) - \bar{F}(X, \underline{\bar{Y}}, \underline{\xi}, \underline{\varphi W}) \} \\
& + \mu g(U, W) \{ 2\Omega(X, Y) \eta(Z) - \bar{F}(X, \underline{\bar{Y}}, \underline{\varphi Z}, \underline{\bar{\xi}}) \} \\
& + \mu \{ \Omega(U, X) \bar{F}(\xi, \underline{\bar{Y}}, \underline{Z}, \bar{W}) + \Omega(U, Y) \bar{F}(X, \underline{\bar{\xi}}, \underline{Z}, \bar{W}) \} \\
& + \mu \{ \Omega(U, Z) \bar{F}(X, \underline{\bar{Y}}, \underline{\xi}, \bar{W}) + \Omega(U, W) \bar{F}(X, \underline{\bar{Y}}, \underline{Z}, \underline{\bar{\xi}}) \}.
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting (2.5) in (2.7), replacing U by ξ and simplifying using (1.5), (1.6) and (1.18), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& A(\xi)K(X, Y, Z, W) + \{ B(\xi) - A(\xi) - \nabla_\xi \lambda_1 \} \bar{F}(X, \underline{\bar{Y}}, \underline{Z}, \bar{W}) \quad (2.8) \\
& + \{ \xi[\mu] - \mu A(\xi) + \nabla_\xi \lambda_1 \} \{ \eta(X) \bar{F}(\xi, \underline{\bar{Y}}, \underline{Z}, \bar{W}) \\
& \quad + \eta(Y) \bar{F}(X, \underline{\bar{\xi}}, \underline{Z}, \bar{W}) \} = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\nabla_\xi \lambda_1 = -\frac{\xi[r]}{2n+1} \left(\frac{2b'}{a'} + \frac{1}{2n} \right). \quad (2.9)$$

Substituting $X = W = e_i$ in (2.8) and summing up over i , $1 \leq i \leq (2n+1)$, we get

$$S(Y, Z) = f_1 g(Y, Z) + f_2 \eta(Y) \eta(Z), \quad (2.10)$$

where

$$f_1 = \frac{(2n-1)(\nabla_\xi \lambda_1) - 2nB(\xi) - \xi[\mu]}{A(\xi)} + (2n+\mu) \quad (2.11)$$

and

$$f_2 = (2n-1) \left\{ \mu - \frac{\xi[\mu] + \nabla_\xi \lambda_1}{A(\xi)} \right\}. \quad (2.12)$$

Since M^{2n+1} is η -Einstein, in view of (1.20), $f_1 + f_2 = 2n$. Therefore, from (2.11) and (2.12), we get

$$\xi[\mu] = \mu A(\xi) - B(\xi). \quad (2.13)$$

Now, substituting (2.13) in (2.11) and (2.12), f_1, f_2 reduce as follows:

$$f_1 = (2n - 1) \left(\frac{\nabla_\xi \lambda_1 - B(\xi)}{A(\xi)} \right) + 2n \quad (2.14)$$

and

$$f_2 = (2n - 1) \left\{ \frac{B(\xi) - \nabla_\xi \lambda_1}{A(\xi)} \right\}. \quad (2.15)$$

Replacing $Y = Z = e_j$ in (2.10), summing up for $1 \leq j \leq (2n + 1)$ and using (2.14) and (2.15), we get

$$r = 2n \left\{ (2n + 1) - (2n - 1) \frac{B(\xi) - \nabla_\xi \lambda_1}{A(\xi)} \right\}. \quad (2.16)$$

In (2.9), $\nabla_\xi \lambda_1 = 0$ if $\xi[r] = 0$ or $\left(\frac{2b'}{a'} + \frac{1}{2n} \right) = 0$. Now, if we consider the case that $\left(\frac{2b'}{a'} + \frac{1}{2n} \right) = 0$, then by using (2.5), we state the following theorem:

Theorem 2.1 Suppose M^{2n+1} is a quasi conformally flat Sasakian hypersurface of the generalized recurrent Kählerian manifold M^{2n+2} . Then M^{2n+1} is symmetric if $a' = -4nb'$ in (1.29).

Also, if we consider the case that $\xi[r] = 0$ in (2.9), then r is a constant. Hence, using (2.16), we state the following theorem:

Theorem 2.2 Suppose M^{2n+1} is a quasi conformally flat Sasakian hypersurface with constant scalar curvature r along the characteristic vector field ξ of the generalized recurrent Kählerian manifold M^{2n+2} . Then the scalar curvature r of M^{2n+1} is given by

$$r = 2n \left\{ (2n + 1) - (2n - 1) \frac{B(\xi)}{A(\xi)} \right\}, \quad (2.17)$$

where A and B are the 1-forms in M^{2n+1} corresponding to the 1-forms \tilde{A} and \tilde{B} in M^{2n+2} .

If M^{2n+1} has a constant mean curvature H , then using (1.27) in (2.13), we get

$$B(\xi) = \mu A(\xi). \quad (2.18)$$

Using (2.18) in (2.17) we state the following corollary:

Corollary 2.3 Suppose M^{2n+1} is a quasi conformally flat Sasakian CMC hypersurface of the generalized recurrent Kählerian manifold M^{2n+2} . The vector field $\rho_B - \mu \rho_A$ is orthogonal to the characteristic vector field ξ if the scalar curvature r of M^{2n+1} is a constant along the characteristic vector field ξ and

- (i) r is positive if $H > \frac{2n}{2n-1}$ and

(ii) r is negative if $H < \frac{2n}{2n-1}$,

where ρ_A, ρ_B are the vector fields associated with the 1-forms A, B and H is the mean curvature.

3. Sasakian hypersurfaces with cyclic paralleled Ricci tensor

The Ricci tensor S of the Sasakian manifold is said to be cyclic parallel [7][10] if it satisfies

$$(\nabla_X S)(Y, Z) + (\nabla_Y S)(Z, X) + (\nabla_Z S)(X, Y) = 0. \quad (3.1)$$

Now, substituting $X = W = e_i$ in (2.7), summing up over $1 \leq i \leq (2n+1)$ and using (2.10), we get

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_U S)(Y, Z) &= ((f_1 - 2n - \mu) A(U) + 2nB(U) + U[\mu]) g(Y, Z) \quad (3.2) \\ &+ ((f_2 - \mu(2n-1)) A(U) + (2n+1)U[\mu]) \eta(Y) \eta(Z) \\ &+ Z[\mu] g(\varphi U, \varphi Y) + Y[\mu] g(\varphi U, \varphi Z) \\ &+ 2\mu(n+1) (\Omega(U, Y) \eta(Z) + \Omega(U, Z) \eta(Y)) \\ &- \xi[\mu] (g(U, Y) \eta(Z) + g(U, Z) \eta(Y)). \end{aligned}$$

Now, using (3.2) in (3.1), replacing $Y = Z = e_j$ and summing up for $1 \leq j \leq (2n+1)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &(2(n+1)f_1 - 2(2n(n+1) + \mu(2n+1))) A(X) \quad (3.3) \\ &+ 2n(2n+3) B(X) + 8((n+1)X[\mu] - \eta(X)\xi[\mu]) \\ &+ 2(f_2 - \mu(2n-1)) A(\xi) \eta(X) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, replacing X by ξ in (3.3), using (2.13), (2.14), (2.15) and (2.9), we get

$$\xi[r] = 0. \quad (3.4)$$

Hence we state the following:

Theorem 3.1 Suppose M^{2n+1} is a quasi conformally flat Sasakian hypersurface of the generalized recurrent Kählerian manifold M^{2n+2} . The scalar curvature r of M^{2n+1} is a constant along the characteristic vector field ξ , if the Ricci tensor of a M^{2n+1} is cyclic parallel.

4. Sasakian hypersurfaces with η -parallel Ricci tensor

The Ricci tensor S of the Sasakian manifold is called η -parallel [12] if

$$(\nabla_U S)(\varphi Y, \varphi Z) = 0. \quad (4.1)$$

Now, replacing Y, Z by $\varphi Y, \varphi Z$ respectively in (3.2), using (4.1) and replacing U by ξ , we get

$$((f_1 - 2n - \mu) A(\xi) + 2nB(\xi) + \xi[\mu]) g(\varphi Y, \varphi Z) = 0. \quad (4.2)$$

Since $g(\varphi Y, \varphi Z) \neq 0$, from (4.2) we get

$$((f_1 - 2n - \mu) A(\xi) + 2nB(\xi) + \xi[\mu]) = 0. \quad (4.3)$$

Using (2.13), (2.14) and (2.9) in (4.3), we get

$$\xi[r] = 0. \quad (4.4)$$

Hence we state the following theorem:

Theorem 4.1 Suppose M^{2n+1} is a quasi conformally flat Sasakian hypersurface of the generalized recurrent Kählerian manifold M^{2n+2} . The scalar r of M^{2n+1} is a constant along the characteristic vector field ξ , if the Ricci tensor of a M^{2n+1} is η -parallel.

REFERENCES

- [1] Boyer, Charles P., Krzysztof Galicki and Matzeu, Paola : On Eta-Einstein Sasakian Geometry, Communications in Mathematical Physics, 262 (1) (2004), 177 - 208.
- [2] Blair, D. E. : Contact Manifolds in Riemannian Geometry, Lecture notes in Mathematics Springer Verlag, Berlin-NewYork, 509, (1976).
- [3] Blair, David E. : Riemannian Geometry of Contact and Symplectic Manifolds, Boston : Birkhauser, 1 (2002).
- [4] Yano, Kentaro and Sawaki, Sumio : Riamannian Manifolds admitting a conformal transformation group, J. Differential Geometry, 1 (1968), 161 - 184.
- [5] Pravanovic, Mileva : On hypersurfaces of a recurrent Riemannian space, Publications de L'institut Matehmatique, Nouvelle Serie Tome, 47 (61) (1970), 103 - 112.
- [6] Tarafadar, M. and Sharma, R. : Totally umbilical CMC hypersurfaces of conformally recurrent manifold, Note de Mathematica, 20(2) (2000/2001), 89 - 92.
- [7] Singh, R. N., Pandey, M. K. and Goutam, D. : On N(k)-Quasi Einstein Manifold, Novi Sad. J. Math., 40(2) (2010), 23 - 28.
- [8] Dubey, R. S. D. : Generalized Recurrent Spaces, Indian J. pure appl. Math., 10 (12) (1979), 1508 - 1513.
- [9] Yamaguchi, Seiichi : On c-umbilical hypersurface of a Kahlerian manifold with vanishing Bochner curvature tensor, Tensor N. S. 20 (1969).
- [10] Lee, Sung-Baik, Kim, Nam-Gil, Han, Seung-Gook and Ahn, Seong-Soo : Sasakian Manifolds with Cyclic-parallel Ricci Tensor, Bull. Korean Math. Soc., 33 (2) (1996), 243 - 251.
- [11] De, Uday Chand, Jun, Jae Bok and Gazi, Abul Kalam : Sasakian Manidolds with Quasi-Conformal curvature tensor, Bull. Koream Math. Soc., 45 (2) (2008), 313-319.
- [12] Shibuya, Yhuji : The spectrum of Sasakian Manifolds, Kodai Math. J., 3 (1980), 197 - 211.